Natural Convection of Water-Based Nanofluids in a Square Enclosure with Non-Uniform Heating of the Bottom Wall
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a numerical study of natural convection in a square enclosure with non-uniform temperature distribution maintained at the bottom wall and filled with nanofluids is carried out using different types of nanoparticles. The remaining walls of the enclosure are kept at a lower temperature. Calculations are performed for Rayleigh numbers in the range $5 \times 10^3 \leq Ra \leq 10^6$ and different solid volume fraction of nanoparticles $0 \leq \chi \leq 0.2$. An enhancement in heat transfer rate is observed with the increase of nanoparticles volume fraction for the whole range of Rayleigh numbers. It is also observed that the heat transfer enhancement strongly depends on the type of nanofluids. For $Ra = 10^6$, the pure water flow becomes unsteady. It is observed that the increase of the volume fraction of nanoparticles makes the flow return to steady state.
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1. Introduction

Enhancement of heat transfer is an important goal in heat exchanger systems. Many different ways of heat transfer improvement such as placement of fins, use of porous media and others have been utilized. This is due to the fact that typical process or conventional base fluids have low thermal conductivities. An innovative way of heat transfer enhancement is the use of nano-particles of relatively higher thermal conductivities suspended in the base fluids (see Eastman et al. [1]). Kehlinski et al. [2] reported on the possible mechanisms of enhancing thermal conductivity, and suggested that the size effect, the clustering of nano-particles and the surface adsorption could be the major reason of enhancement, while the Brownian motion of nanoparticles contributes much less than other factors. Wang and Peng [3] have studied experimentally the effective thermal conductivity of liquids with 25 nm SiO$_2$ particle inclusions and observed the percolation pattern of particle clustering by scanning tunnel microscopic (STM) photos. It was believed that clustering could affect the enhancement prominently. Wang et al. [4] have used a fractal model for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of liquid with suspension of nano-particles and found that it predicts well the trend for variation of the effective thermal conductivity with dilute suspension of nanoparticles. Several other models have been proposed for calculating the effective apparent thermal conductivity of a solid-fluid system Hamilton and Crosser [5], Wasp [6], Maxwell-Garnett [7], Bruggeman [8] and Yu and Choi [9].

Several studies of convective heat transfer in nanofluids have been reported in recent years. Kanafer et al. [10] investigated the problem of buoyancy-driven heat transfer enhancement of nanofluids in a two-dimensional enclosure. Jang and Choi [11] studied free convection in a rectangular cavity (Benard convection) with nanofluids. Jou and Tzeng [12] reported a numerical study of the heat transfer performance of nanofluids inside two-dimensional rectangular enclosures. Their results indicated that increasing the volume fraction of nanoparticles produced a significant enhancement of the average rate of heat transfer. Santra et al. [13] conducted a study of heat transfer augmentation in a differentially heated square cavity using copper-water nanofluid using the models proposed by Maxwell-Garnett [7] and Bruggeman [8]. Their results show that the Bruggemann model [8] predicts higher heat transfer rates than the Maxwell-Garnett model [7]. Hwang et al. [14] have carried out a theoreti-
ual investigation of the thermal characteristics of natural convection of an alumina-based nanofluid in a rectangular cavity heated from below using Jang and Choi’s model [15] for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of nanofluids (and various models for predicting the effective viscosity). Wang et al. [16] investigated free convection heat transfer in horizontal and vertical rectangular cavities filled with nanofluids. Santra et al. [17] studied heat transfer characteristics of copper-water nanofluid in a differentially heated square cavity with different viscosity models. Ho et al. [18] reported a numerical simulation of natural convection of nanofluid in a square enclosure considering the effects due to uncertainties of viscosity and thermal conductivity. Oztop and Abu-Nada [19] studied heat transfer and fluid flow due to buoyancy forces in a partially heated enclosure using nanofluids with various types of nanoparticles. They found that the use of nanofluids caused heat transfer enhancement and that this enhancement is more pronounced at a low aspect ratio than at a high one. Aminossadati and Ghasemi [20] studied natural convection cooling of a localized heat source at the bottom of a nanofluid-filled enclosure. Ogut [21] investigated natural convection of water-based nanofluids in an inclined enclosure with a heat source using the expression for calculating the effective thermal conductivity of solid-liquid mixtures proposed by Yu and Choi [9]. Ghasemi and Aminossadati [22] considered periodic natural convection in a nanofluid-filled enclosure with oscillating heat flux.

Non-uniform heating of surfaces in buoyancy-driven flow in a cavity has significant effect of the flow and heat transfer characteristics and finds applications in various areas such as crystal growth in liquids, energy storage, geophysics, solar distillers and others. In a relatively recent study, Sarris et al. [23] reported that the sinusoidal wall temperature variation produced uniform melting of materials such as glass in their detailed study on the effect of sinusoidal top wall temperature variations in a natural convection within a square enclosure where the other walls are insulated. Corcione [24] studied natural convection in an air-filled rectangular enclosure heated from below and cooled from above for a variety of thermal boundary conditions at the side walls. Roy and Basak [25] studied numerically natural convection flows in a square cavity with non-uniformly (sinusoidal) heated wall(s) using the finite element method. The bottom wall and one vertical wall were heated (uniformly and non-uniformly) and the top wall was insulated while the other vertical wall was cooled by means of a constant temperature bath. Sathiyaamoorthy et al. [26] investigated steady natural convection flows in a square cavity with linearly heated side wall(s). Natarajan et al. [27] investigated natural convection flows in a trapezoidal enclosure with uniform and non-uniform (sinusoidal) heating of bottom wall. Basak et al. [28] reported an analysis of mixed convection flows within a square cavity with uniform and non-uniform (sinusoidal) heating of bottom wall.

The objective of this work is to study natural convection in a square enclosure filled with a water-based nanofluid (water with Ag, Cu, Al₂O₃ or TiO₂ nanoparticles) with non-uniform (sinusoidal) temperature distribution maintained at the bottom wall. An accurate finite volume scheme along with a multi-grid technique is devised for the purpose of solution of the governing equations.

2. Problem Formulation

Consider laminar natural convection of a water-based nanofluid in a square enclosure of side length L (Figure 1). The enclosure is heated from the bottom wall with a non-uniformly-distributed temperature such that

\[ T = \Delta T \times \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{L} \right) + T_c. \]

The remaining walls are cooled at \( T_c \). The nanofluids used in the model development are assumed to be Newtonian and the flow is assumed incompressible. The nanoparticles are assumed to have uniform shape and size. Also, it is assumed that both the fluid phase (water) and nanoparticles (Ag, Cu, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂) are in thermal equilibrium and they flow at the same velocity. The physical properties of the nanofluids are considered to be constant except the density variation in the body force term of the momentum equation which is determined based on the Boussinesq approximation. Under the above assumptions, the system of equations governing the two dimensional motion of a nanofluid in the enclosure can be written in dimensional form as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= 0, \\
\rho \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) &= -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right), \\
\rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) &= -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) + g \rho \Delta T, \\
\rho \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) &= \alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 1. Physical model and coordinates.**
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (uu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (uv)}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \mu_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) \right]
\]
\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (uv)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (vv)}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \mu_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) \right] + (\rho\beta)_{nf} g(T - T_c)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (uT)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (vT)}{\partial y} = \alpha_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]

Here, \( \rho_{nf} \) is the effective density of the nanofluid defined as:
\[
\rho_{nf} = (1 - \chi)\rho_f + \chi\rho_p
\]

According to Brinkman’s formula [29], the effective dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid is expressed as:
\[
\mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{(1 - \chi)^{2/3}}
\]

The thermal expansion coefficient of the nanofluid can be obtained by:
\[
(\rho\beta)_{nf} = (1 - \chi)(\rho\beta)_f + \chi(\rho\beta)_p
\]

The thermal diffusivity of the nanofluid is given by:
\[
\alpha_{nf} = \frac{k_{nf}}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}}
\]

and where the heat capacitance of the nanofluid is given by:
\[
(\rho C_p)_{nf} = (1 - \chi)(\rho C_p)_f + \chi(\rho C_p)_p
\]

In the previous expressions, subscripts \( f \) and \( p \) are related to pure fluid and dispersed nanoparticles, respectively. The thermo-physical properties of the base fluid and the considered nanoparticles in this study are given in Table 1 as reported in [20].

In order to obtain non-dimensional equations, the following dimensionless parameters have been introduced:
\[
\tau = \frac{\alpha_f}{L^2}, \quad X = \frac{x}{L}, \quad Y = \frac{y}{L}, \quad U = \frac{uL}{\alpha_f}, \quad V = \frac{vL}{\alpha_f},
\]
\[
P = \frac{(P + \rho_0 g Y)L^2}{\rho_{nf} \alpha_f}, \quad \theta = \frac{T - T_c}{T_h - T_c},
\]

Table 1. Thermo-physical properties of water, silver, copper, alumina and titanium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( \rho (\text{kg/m}^3) )</th>
<th>( \beta (\text{K}^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( k (\text{W/m·K}) )</th>
<th>( C_p (\text{J/kg·K}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure water</td>
<td>997.1</td>
<td>21 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>4179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (Ag)</td>
<td>10,500</td>
<td>1.89 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>8933</td>
<td>1.67 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina (Al_2O_3)</td>
<td>3970</td>
<td>0.85 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium (TiO_2)</td>
<td>4250</td>
<td>0.9 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>8.9538</td>
<td>686.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
Ra = \frac{g \beta_f (T_h - T_c)L^3}{\alpha_f \nu_f} \quad \text{and} \quad Pr = \frac{\nu_f}{\alpha_f}
\]

Hence, the governing equations of continuity, linear momentum and energy for unsteady laminar flow in Cartesian coordinates take the following dimensionless form:
\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial (UU)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (VV)}{\partial Y} = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial (UU)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (VV)}{\partial Y} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y} + \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\alpha_f \rho_f} \left( \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} \right) + (\rho\beta)_{nf} Ra Pr \theta
\]

The enclosure boundary conditions consist of no-slip and no penetration walls, \( i.e. , U = V = 0 \) on all four walls. The thermal boundary conditions on the bottom wall is such that \( \theta_{y=0} = \theta_{y=0} = \sin(\pi X) \). The left and right vertical walls are at the cold temperature \( \theta_{x=0} = \theta_{x=1} = 0 \) and the bottom wall at \( \theta_{y=1} = 0 \).

The local and averaged heat transfer rates at the bottom hot wall of the cavity are presented by means of the local and averaged Nusselt numbers, \( Nu \) and \( Nu_m \), which are, respectively, determined as follows:
\[
Nu = \frac{h_f L}{k_f} = \frac{k_{nf}}{k_f} \left. \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} \right|_{Y=0}
\]
\[
Nu_m = \left[ \frac{h_f L}{k_f} \right] \int_0^1 \left[ -\frac{k_{nf}}{k_f} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} \right] dX
\]
3. Numerical Method and Validation

The unsteady Navier-Stokes and energy equations are discretized using staggered, non-uniform control volumes. A projection method (Achdou and Guermond [31]) is used to couple the momentum and continuity equations. An intermediate velocity is first computed and later updated to comply with mass continuity. In the intermediate velocity field, the old pressure is used. A Poisson equation, with the divergence of the intermediate velocity field as the source term, is then solved to obtain the pressure correction and afterward the real velocity field.

A finite-volume method (Patankar [32]) is used to discretize the Navier-Stokes and energy equations. The advective terms are discretized using a QUICK third-order scheme proposed by Leonard [33] in the momentum equation and a second order central differencing one in the energy equation. The discretized momentum and energy equations are resolved using the red and black successive over relaxation method RBSOR (Leonard [34]), while the Poisson pressure correction equation is solved using a full multi-grid method as suggested by Ben Cheikh et al. [35]. The numerical method was implemented in a FORTRAN program.

3.1. Code Validation

Our code has been tested for natural convection fluid flows in differentially heated cavities and in Rayleigh-Bénard configuration and gave excellent results (see ref. [35-36]). In order to validate the nanofluid version, numerical calculations were carried out on an uniform grid containing 80 × 80 nodes and for a test case considered recently by Aminossadati and Ghasemi [20]. It deals with a two-dimensional enclosure filled with nanofluids. A heat source is located on the bottom wall of the enclosure which is thermally insulated. The right and left vertical walls and the horizontal top wall of the enclosure are maintained at a low temperature T_C. In order to validate our numerical results, we chose the case Pr = 6.2 (pure water), Ra = 10^5, \chi = 0.1 and three different nanoparticles, i.e., Cu, Ag and Al_2O_3. Table 2 summarizes our obtained results with those of Aminossadati and Ghasemi [20]. Here, Nu_m and \theta_max are the averaged Nusselt number through the hot source and the maximum value of temperature on the heated source, respectively. The relative errors in Nu_m and \theta_max are denoted by \epsilon(Nu_m) and \epsilon(\theta_max), respectively. Table 2 shows that our results do not exceed 1.0% of relative error, which is a good validation.

3.2. Grid Independence

In the present grid independence test, the Prandtl number is set to Pr = 6.2 (pure water). The nanoparticles are chosen to be copper (Cu) with a solid volume fraction \chi = 0.1 and a Rayleigh number Ra = 10^5. Numerical computations have been carried on six different grid sizes, i.e., 32 × 32, 48 × 48, 64 × 64, 80 × 80 and 96 × 96 grid sizes. Table 3 regroups the values of the averaged Nusselt number through the hot wall and the maximum value of the stream function \psi defined by \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -V and \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = U. We also report in Table 3 the CPU per time step for each grid size. Note that calculations were carried on a Pentium Dual-core processor. It is found that the difference between the results obtained for the 80 × 80 and the 96 × 96 grid size is less than 0.008% for the averaged Nusselt number and less than 0.035% for \psi_max.

In order to minimize the time cost of calculations, the 80 × 80 grid size was thus chosen for all of the following simulations.

4. Results and Discussion

In this section, the nanofluid-filled enclosure is studied for a range of solid volume fraction 0% ≤ \chi ≤ 20% and
the Rayleigh number varies from $5 \times 10^3$ to $5 \times 10^5$. Four kinds of nanoparticles are envisaged, i.e., silver (Ag), copper (Cu), alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) and titanium (TiO$_2$). For all simulations the considered base fluid is water ($Pr = 6.2$). The dimensionless time step varies from $\Delta \tau = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ for $Ra = 5 \times 10^3$ to $\Delta \tau = 10^{-5}$ for $Ra = 5 \times 10^5$. The steady state is considered as achieved according to the following criterion:

$$\sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^{n}}{G_{i,j}^{n}} \right| \leq 10^{-6}.$$ (22)

Here, $G$ represents the variable $U$, $V$ or $\theta$, the superscript $r$ refers to the iteration number and $(i,j)$ refer to the space coordinates. Note that this criterion was only applied for $Ra \leq 5 \times 10^5$. At $Ra = 10^6$ where unsteady flow did not develop, the maximum dimensionless time to which the solution was continued was increased by up to 100% to ensure that unsteady flow did not in fact occur at larger dimensionless times. Note that this method is inspired from the one used by Oosthuizen and Paul [37]. For the smallest value of $Ra$, simulations started with a zero field as initial guess. Then, by increasing the Rayleigh number, the previous Rayleigh number is taken as the initial guess and so on.

### 4.1. Effect of Solid Volume Fraction

In this section, we consider the case where the cavity is filled with water and alumina particles. The solid volume fraction varies from 0% to 20%. It should be noted that tests were conducted for $Ra = 10^6$ and the flow then passes to an unsteady state with the appearance of several bifurcations. The results for $Ra = 10^6$ will be the subject of the next section.

In Figure 2, we present the streamlines (top) and isotherms (bottom) for $5 \times 10^3 \leq Ra \leq 5 \times 10^5$, for the case of a water-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid (\(\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\)) and pure water (\(\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\)). The value of solid volume fraction is set to $\chi = 0.05$. Figure 3 represents the same physical quantities but for a volume fraction value of $\chi = 0.2$. Due to the temperature distribution imposed at the bottom wall and to the boundary conditions on vertical walls, we observe a symmetry behavior in both the streamlines and in the contour maps of the isotherms. We can see that whatever the Rayleigh number and value of solid volume fraction, the flow is mainly composed of two counter-rotating circulating cells. The maximum values of stream function $\psi_{\text{max}}$ are reported in Table 4 according to the different cases. From Table 4, one can see that an increase in solid volume fraction generates a decrease in the values of $\psi_{\text{max}}$. The fluid is, therefore, moves slower in the enclosure in the presence of nanoparticles. Note that this phenomenon is not observed for $Ra = 5 \times 10^3$ and the cases $\chi = 0.05$ and $\chi = 0.1$ for which the maximal intensities of the rotating cells increases by comparison to the case $\chi = 0$. That means that for these combinations, the buoyancy force is greater than the inertia force due to the presence of nanoparticles.

Figure 4 presents the vertical velocity profiles $V(X)$ along the mid-section of the enclosure $Y = 0.5$ for different values of $\chi$ and is in good concordance with the fact that the nanofluid moves slower than a pure water. It is also observed that the reduction of velocity of the nanofluid is more accentuated for small values of Rayleigh number. Indeed, for $Ra = 5 \times 10^3$, the deviation (relative to $\chi = 0$) between the maximum values of velocity is $\Delta V_{\text{max}} = 25.0\%$ for $\chi = 0.05$ and $\Delta V_{\text{max}} = 67.0\%$ for $\chi = 0.2$. By increasing the Rayleigh number, these deviations decrease. For $Ra = 5 \times 10^4$ and $Ra = 5 \times 10^5$ these deviations are $\Delta V_{\text{max}}(\chi = 0.05) = 4.40\%$, $\Delta V_{\text{max}}(\chi = 0.2) = 27.8\%$, $\Delta V_{\text{max}}(\chi = 0.05) = 1.49\%$ and $\Delta V_{\text{max}}(\chi = 0.2) = 13.9\%$, respectively. As far as the temperature distribution is concerned, clear differences are observed in the isotherm contour plots compared to the case $\chi = 0$. These differences are accentuated as the solid volume fraction increases. These differences mean that the presence of nanoparticles affect especially the heat transfer rate through the enclosure.

The heat transfer distribution through the hot wall is displayed in Figure 5 through the plotted lines of the local Nusselt number for different values of $Ra$. One can see that for all combinations of $Ra$ and $\chi$, the local Nusselt number behavior is symmetric with respect to the plane $X = 0.5$. For low Rayleigh numbers, ($Ra = 5 \times 10^3$) and $\chi = 0$, the transfer of heat through the hot wall is relatively low with a slight curvature at $X = 0.5$. This curvature is due to relatively higher intensity of the counter-rotating cells represented by the highest value of $\psi_{\text{max}}$ when $\chi = 0$. When $\chi$ increases to $\chi = 0.2$, the curvature at

### Table 3. Grid independency results for water-copper, $Ra = 10^6$ and $\chi = 0.1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>32 x 32</th>
<th>48 x 48</th>
<th>64 x 64</th>
<th>80 x 80</th>
<th>96 x 96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_{\infty}$</td>
<td>6.5454</td>
<td>6.5420</td>
<td>6.5406</td>
<td>6.5397</td>
<td>6.5392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>14.142</td>
<td>14.108</td>
<td>14.124</td>
<td>14.120</td>
<td>14.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU [s]/time step</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Maximum values of stream function at different Rayleigh numbers and solid volume fractions for water-copper nanofluid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Ra$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.05$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.10$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.15$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.20$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>1.2449</td>
<td>0.97214</td>
<td>0.76217</td>
<td>0.60581</td>
<td>0.48615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>9.8038</td>
<td>9.46098</td>
<td>8.96461</td>
<td>8.30321</td>
<td>7.45971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>35.275</td>
<td>35.5139</td>
<td>35.3989</td>
<td>34.8809</td>
<td>33.9525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Streamlines (top) and isotherms (bottom) for the enclosure filled with water-alumina nanofluid, \( \chi = 0.05 \) (---) and pure water (——) at different Rayleigh numbers.

Figure 3. Streamlines (top) and isotherms (bottom) for the enclosure filled with water-alumina nanofluid, \( \chi = 0.2 \) (---) and pure water (——) at different Rayleigh numbers.
Figure 4. Vertical velocity profiles along the mid-plane for different $Ra$ and solid volume fractions (Water-Al$_2$O$_3$).

Figure 5. Local Nusselt number through the heated wall for different $Ra$ and solid volume fractions (Water-Al$_2$O$_3$).
the center disappears because the fluid velocity decreases. The heat transfer in this case is maximum at $X = 0.5$ and is higher due to the presence of nanoparticles whose thermal conductivity is much greater than that of water. The same phenomena are observed almost on the curves related to $Ra = 5 \times 10^4$ and $Ra = 5 \times 10^5$ with a maximum heat transfer in the vicinity of $X = 0.25$ and $X = 0.75$. For example, for $Ra = 5 \times 10^4$, the maximum Nusselt number value is $Nu_{\text{max}} = 7.266$ and is situated at both locations $X = 0.314$ and $X = 0.686$ for $\chi = 0$. For $\chi = 0.2$, $Nu_{\text{max}} = 8.370$ and is located at $X = 0.295$ and $X = 0.705$.

4.2. Effect of Type of Nanoparticle

In this part of the study, the solid volume fraction is fixed at 0.1 and three other solid nanoparticles, copper (Cu), silver (Ag), and titanium (TiO$_2$) are studied. The physical properties of these metals are listed in Table 1.

In Figure 6, the profiles of velocity component $V(X)$ at $Y = 0.5$ for $Ra = 5 \times 10^4$ are reported for Cu, Ag, TiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$. It is observed that with nanoparticles of silver or copper, the velocity of fluid particles is higher than with nanoparticles of alumina or titanium. This fact should result in a heat transfer rate higher with nanoparticles of silver or copper than with nanoparticles of Al$_2$O$_3$ or TiO$_2$. Indeed, as shown in Figure 7, the values of the averaged Nusselt number through the hot wall are higher when the nanofluid used is based on Ag or Cu nanoparticles. Figure 7 also shows that the averaged Nusselt number over the hot wall can be correlated by equations of the form:

$$Nu_{\text{av}} = c \times Ra^d.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where the values of constant coefficients $c$ and $d$ corresponding to the different types of nanofluid are given in Table 5. Incidentally, the values of coefficients $c$ and $d$ were obtained using the same method as mentioned in the preceding paragraph for determining coefficients $a$ and $b$.

Finally, we conclude this study by presenting some benchmark results according to the mean Nusselt number reported in Table 6 and the percentage of heat transfer rate increase for different nanofluids with respect to pure water at different Rayleigh numbers and solid volume fractions in Table 7. It is noted that for $5 \times 10^3 \leq Ra \leq 5 \times 10^5$, the maximum percentage increase in heat transfer rate is obtained for the combination ($Ra = 5 \times 10^3; \chi = 0.2$; water-Ag) with a gain of 55% and minimum for the combination ($Ra = 5 \times 10^4; \chi = 0.05$; water-TiO$_2$) with a gain of 3.5%. Note that, the highest values of percentage increase in heat transfer are observed for low values of Rayleigh numbers and high values of solid volume fractions. This is due to the fact that for low $Ra$, the mechanism of heat transfer is mainly governed by conduction. However, good gains in heat transfer rate can also be obtained for higher Rayleigh number through the use of Nanofluids with appropriate percentage of solid volume fraction of nanoparticles.

Table 5. Values of coefficients $c$ and $d$ in the correlation Equation (24) according to different kinds of nanofluids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanofluid</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>0.3631</td>
<td>0.2536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.3597</td>
<td>0.2545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.3364</td>
<td>0.2588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.3298</td>
<td>0.2578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Numerical values of mean Nusselt number through the heated wall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\chi = 0.05$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.10$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.15$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.20$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ra = 5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td>2.809</td>
<td>3.161</td>
<td>3.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td>2.809</td>
<td>3.161</td>
<td>3.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Al_2O_3$</td>
<td>2.498</td>
<td>2.766</td>
<td>3.098</td>
<td>3.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.456</td>
<td>2.670</td>
<td>2.936</td>
<td>3.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra = 5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>5.148</td>
<td>5.400</td>
<td>5.607</td>
<td>5.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>5.156</td>
<td>5.414</td>
<td>5.626</td>
<td>5.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Al_2O_3$</td>
<td>5.106</td>
<td>5.293</td>
<td>5.409</td>
<td>5.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>5.035</td>
<td>5.155</td>
<td>5.212</td>
<td>5.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra = 5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>9.158</td>
<td>9.680</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>10.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>9.178</td>
<td>9.725</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>10.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Al_2O_3$</td>
<td>9.120</td>
<td>9.599</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>10.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Percentage of heat transfer rate increase for different nanofluids with respect to pure water at different Rayleigh numbers and solid volume fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\chi = 0.05$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.10$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.15$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.20$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ra = 5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Al_2O_3$</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra = 5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Al_2O_3$</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra = 5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Al_2O_3$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Results for $Ra = 10^6$

In this section, the Rayleigh number is fixed to $Ra = 10^6$ and three different fluids (pure water, water-Cu and water-TiO$_2$) are considered. Figure 8 shows the average Nusselt number evolution related to pure water versus the dimensionless time. It is seen that for $0.1 \leq t \leq 0.4$, the flow seems to be steady. For $0.6 \leq t \leq 1.4$, a first bifurcation is observed. Indeed, the flow becomes unsteady with one single frequency. For $t \geq 1.5$, a new bifurcation is observed and the flow becomes periodic and two frequencies may be observed. In order to ensure that a third bifurcation does not occur, a long time of integration up to $t_{tot} = 5$ was used. After that, simulations were carried on for water-Cu and water-TiO$_2$ with volume fractions in the range $0.05 \leq \chi \leq 0.2$. The results are regrouped in Figure 9 by means of the average Nusselt number versus time. The four figures on the top are related to water-Cu and the bottom ones to water-TiO$_2$. As a first observation, one can see that by increasing the value of the volume fraction, the flow becomes steady. Indeed, for the case water-Cu, the flow is unsteady for $\chi = 0.05$ and $\chi = 0.1$ but steady for $\chi \geq 0.15$. The same behaviors are seen for water-TiO$_2$ combination. Here, the steadiness appears for $\chi \geq 0.1$. The average Nusselt number in time and space are reported in Table 8 for different volume fractions $\chi$ and both nano-fluids (water-Cu and water-TiO$_2$).

Table 8. Time averaged Nusselt number for different values of volume fractions and kinds of nanofluids for $Ra = 10^6$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\chi = 0$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.05$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.10$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.15$</th>
<th>$\chi = 0.20$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>11.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

This study focused on numerical modelling of natural convection in a square enclosure with non-uniform temperature distribution maintained at the bottom wall and filled with nanofluids using different types of nanoparticles. The remaining walls of the enclosure were kept at lower and equal temperatures. The finite volume method along with a multi-grid technique was used numerically to investigate the effect of using different nanofluids on the flow structure and heat transfer rate in the enclosure. For $5 \times 10^3 \leq Ra \leq 5 \times 10^5$ and $0 \leq \chi \leq 0.2$, the flow structure was characterized by two counter-rotating circulating cells for all type of nanofluids. For relatively moderate Rayleigh numbers, the intensity of these cells decreased with the increase of solid volume fraction. By increasing the value of Rayleigh number and keeping...
other parameters fixed, the heat transfer was enhanced. Comparing the values of averaged Nusselt number obtained with pure water with other nanofluids, it was seen that for low Rayleigh numbers and high solid volume fractions, enhancements up to 55% could be reached. This percentage strongly depended on the type of nanofluids. Indeed, the highest heat transfer enhancements were obtained when using copper or silver nanoparticles. The lowest values of percentage increase in heat transfer were obtained with TiO₂ nanoparticles but were not negligible as far. It reached 40.8% at high values of solid volume fractions and low Ra. For a higher Rayleigh
number, i.e., \( Ra = 10^6 \), the pure water flow becomes unsteady. Several bifurcations were observed when changing the solid volume fractions values or the kind of the nanofluid used. Also, the increase of the volume fraction of nanoparticles made the flow return to steady state. This could be a good way to delay the transition to unsteadiness if needed. In the near future, this study will be extended for higher Rayleigh numbers, 3D studies and other types of base fluids and nanoparticles.
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Nomenclature

\( C_p \) specific heat, \( J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1} \)
\( g \) gravitational acceleration, \( m \cdot s^{-2} \)
\( k \) thermal conductivity, \( W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1} \)
\( L \) enclosure length, \( m \)
\( Nu \) local Nusselt number
\( Nu_m \) average Nusselt number
\( P \) pressure, \( Pa \)
\( Pr \) prandtl number, \( \nu / \alpha \)
\( Ra \) Rayleigh number, \( \beta \gamma (T_H - T_C)L^3 / \alpha \gamma f \)
\( T \) temperature, \( K \)
\( T_C \) temperature of cold wall, \( K \)
\( u, v \) velocity components in \( x, y \) directions, \( m \cdot s^{-1} \)
\( U, V \) dimensionless velocity components
\( x, y \) Cartesian coordinates, \( m \)
\( X, Y \) dimensionless coordinates

\( \beta \) volumetric thermal expansion coefficient, \( K^{-1} \)
\( \chi \) solid volume fraction
\( \Delta T \) difference temperature, \( T_H - T_C \)
\( \mu \) dynamic viscosity, \( N \cdot s \cdot m^{-2} \)
\( \nu \) kinematic viscosity, \( m^2 \cdot s^{-1} \)
\( \theta \) non-dimensional temperature, \( (T - T_c) / (T_H - T_C) \)
\( \rho \) density, \( kg \cdot m^{-3} \)

Subscripts

\( C \) cold
\( f \) pure fluid
\( H \) hot
\( nf \) nanofluid
\( p \) nanoparticle

Greek Symbols

\( \alpha \) thermal diffusivity, \( m^2 \cdot s^{-1} \)