Transient MHD Free Convection Flow and Heat Transfer of Nanofluid past an Impulsively Started Semi-Infinite Vertical Plate
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, the problem of nanofluid flow and heat transfer due to the impulsive motion of a semi-infinite vertical plate in its own plane in the presence of magnetic field is analyzed by the implicit finite-difference numerical method. A range of nanofluids containing nanoparticles of aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver with nanoparticle volume fraction range less than or equal to 0.04 are considered. The Tiwari-Das nanofluid model is employed. The velocity and temperature profiles as well as the skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are examined for different parameters such as nanoparticle volume fraction, nanofluid type, magnetic parameter and thermal Grashof number. The present simulations are relevant to magnetic nanomaterials thermal flow processing in the chemical and metallurgical industries.

Keywords: Free convection; Nanofluids; Implicit finite-difference numerical method; MHD; Semi-infinite vertical plate; transient flow; Materials processing.

1. INTRODUCTION

Transient free convection flows under the influence of a magnetic field have attracted the interest of many researchers in view of their applications in modern materials processing where magnetic fields are known to achieve excellent manipulation and control of electrically-conducting materials (Ibrahim and Shanker (2014)). Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) convection flows also find significant applications in renewable energy devices including MHD power generators (Chen et al. (2005), Yamaguchi et al. (2011)) as well as nuclear reactor transport processes (Mukhopadhyay (2011)) wherein magnetic field is employed to regulate heat transfer rates. Several authors have studied the natural convection hydromagnetic boundary layer flow of an electrically-conducting fluid. Mention may be made of research studies of Beg et al. (2011), Das et al. (2014), Gangadhar (2015), Seth et al. (2015) and ELKabeir et al. (2015).

Conventional heat transfer fluids, for example oil, water, and ethylene glycol mixtures, are poor heat transfer fluids because of their poor thermal conductivity. Application of these fluids as a cooling tool enhances manufacturing and operating costs. Many attempts have been taken by many researchers to enhance the thermal conductivity of these fluids by suspending nano/micro particles in liquids (Abu-Nada et al. (2012), Choi (1995)). Nanofluids are made of ultrafine nanoparticles (<100 nm) suspended in a base fluid, which can be water or an organic solvent (Choi (2009)). Nanofluids are found to exhibit higher conductive, minimum clogging, boiling, and convective heat transfer performances compared to conventional fluids (Akbarinia et al. (2011), Murshed et al. (2011), Yu et al. (2008)). There have been published several recent studies on the modelling of natural convection heat transfer in nanofluids: Daungthongsuk and Wongwises (2008) studied effect of the thermophysical properties models on the predicting of the convective heat transfer coefficient for low concentration nanofluid. Abu-Nada and Oztop (2009) presented the effects of inclination angle on natural convection in enclosures filled with Cu-water nanofluid. The Cheng-Minkowycz problem for natural convective boundary-layer flow in a porous medium saturated by a nanofluid was discussed by Nield and Kuznetsov (2009). The problem of mixed convection MHD flow of a nanofluid past a stretching permeable surface in the presence of Brownian motion and thermophoresis effects was studied by Chamkha et al. (2010a). Also, Chamkha...
et al. (2010b) presented the non similar solution for natural convective boundary layer flow over a sphere embedded in a porous medium saturated with a nanofluid. Gorla et al. (2011a) studied Heat transfer in the boundary layer on a stretching circular cylinder in a nanofluid. Later, the problem of mixed convection past a vertical wedge embedded in a porous medium saturated by a nanofluid was analyzed by Gorla et al. (2011b). Free convection boundary layer flow of a non-Newtonian fluid over a permeable vertical cone embedded in a porous medium saturated with a nanofluid was discussed by Rashad et al. (2011). Chamkha et al. (2011) analyzed the unsteady boundary-layer flow of a nanofluid over a horizontal stretching plate in the presence of melting effect. Also, the problem of mixed convection boundary-layer flow over an isothermal vertical wedge embedded in a porous medium saturated with a nanofluid was studied by Chamka et al. (2012). The problem of heat and mass transfer of unsteady natural convection flow of some nanofluids past a vertical infinite flat plate with radiation effect was studied by Turkylimazoglu and Pop (2013). Loganathan et al. (2013) studied the effects of radiation on an unsteady natural convective flow of a nanofluid past an infinite vertical plate. Turkylimazoglu (2014) analyzed the unsteady convection flow of some nanofluids past a moving vertical flat plate with heat transfer by the usual Laplace transform technique. Rajesh et al. (2014) presented the numerical study of Transient nanofluid flow and heat transfer from a moving vertical cylinder in the presence of thermal radiation. Later Rajesh and Anwar Beg (2014) studied MHD transient nanofluid flow and heat transfer from a moving vertical cylinder with temperature oscillation. Recently Rajesh et al. (2016) analyzed the Nanofluid flow past an impulsively started semi-infinite vertical plate. The problem of heat and mass transfer from a moving vertical cylinder with variable surface temperature. In all these recent studies (Turkylimazoglu and Pop (2013), Loganathan et al. (2013), Turkylimazoglu (2014), Rajesh et al. (2014), Rajesh and Anwar Beg (2014), Rajesh et al. (2016), The Tiwari-Das (2007) nanofluid model is employed.

The aim of the present study is to examine the effects of the transverse magnetic field on a transient free convective flow of a nanofluid past an impulsively started semi-infinite vertical plate. The governing boundary layer equations along with the initial and boundary conditions are solved by an implicit finite difference method of the Crank-Nicolson type, which is unconditionally stable and convergent. Numerical results for the transient velocity and temperature profiles as well as the axial distributions of the skin-friction coefficient and local Nusselt numbers are presented and discussed in tables and graphs. The present study also provides an important benchmark for further simulations of magneto-nanofluid dynamic transport phenomena of relevance to materials processing, with alternative computational algorithms (e.g. Finite element methods).

We consider the unsteady, laminar, two-dimensional, boundary layer free convective flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting nanofluid past an impulsively started semi-infinite vertical plate in the presence of an applied magnetic field. The $x$-axis is taken along the plate in the vertical upward direction, and the $y$-axis is taken normal to the surface of the plate as shown in Figure 1. The gravitational acceleration $g$ acts downward. Initially, both the plate and the nanofluid are stationary at the same temperature $T_w'$. They are maintained at this condition for all $t' \leq 0$.

![Fig. 1. The physical model and coordinate system.](image)

At time $t' > 0$, the plate is given an impulsive motion in the vertically upward direction with the constant velocity $u_0$. The surface of the plate is maintained at a constant temperature $T_w'$ higher than the temperature $T_w'$ of the ambient nanofluid. We assume that the uniform magnetic field with an intensity of $B_0$ acts in the normal direction to the plate and the effect of the induced magnetic field is negligible, which is valid when the magnetic Reynolds number is small. The fluid considered is water-based nanofluid containing different types of nanoparticles: aluminium oxide ($Al_2O_3$), copper (Cu), titanium oxide (TiO$_2$) and silver (Ag). In this study, nanofluids are assumed to behave as single-phase fluids with local thermal equilibrium between the base fluid and the nanoparticles suspended in them so that no slip occurs between them. A schematic representation of the physical model and the coordinate system is depicted in Figure 1. The thermo physical properties of the nanofluids are given in Table 1 (see Oztop and Abu-Nada (2008), Loganathan et al. (2013)). The boundary layer and Boussinesq approximations are assumed to be valid. Under these assumptions, the governing boundary layer equations according to the model for nanofluids given by Tiwari and Das (2007) can be written in dimensional form as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$  

(1)
Table 1 Thermo-physical properties of water and nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \rho ) (Kg m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>( C_p ) (J Kg(^{-1})K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \kappa ) (Wm(^{-1})K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \beta \times 10^{-5} ) (K(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H(2)O</strong></td>
<td>997.1</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Al(2)O(_3)</strong></td>
<td>3970</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cu</strong></td>
<td>8933</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TiO(_2)</strong></td>
<td>4250</td>
<td>686.2</td>
<td>8.9528</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ag</strong></td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity for various shapes of nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Shape of nanoparticles</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity</th>
<th>Dynamic viscosity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>( \kappa_{nf} = \frac{\kappa_f + 2\kappa_f - 2\phi(\kappa_f - \kappa_s)}{\kappa_f} )</td>
<td>( \mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{(1-\phi)^3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>( \kappa_{nf} = \frac{\kappa_f + 2\kappa_f - 2\phi(\kappa_f - \kappa_s)}{\kappa_f} )</td>
<td>( \mu_{nf} = \mu_f \left(1 + 7.3\phi + 123\phi^2\right) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cylindrical (nanotubes)</td>
<td>( \kappa_{nf} = \frac{\kappa_f + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_f - \frac{1}{2}\phi(\kappa_f - \kappa_s)}{\kappa_f} )</td>
<td>( \mu_{nf} = \mu_f \left(1 + 7.3\phi + 123\phi^2\right) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Cylindrical (nanotubes)</td>
<td>( \kappa_{nf} = \frac{\kappa_f + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_f - \frac{1}{2}\phi(\kappa_f - \kappa_s)}{\kappa_f} )</td>
<td>( \mu_{nf} = \mu_f \left(1 + 7.3\phi + 123\phi^2\right) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial and boundary conditions for the problem are

\( \tau' \leq 0 : u = 0, v = 0, T' = T_x' = T_w \) for all \( x \) and \( y \)

\( \tau' > 0 : u = u_0, v = 0, T' = T_w' \) at \( y = 0 \)

\( u = 0, T' = T_w \) at \( x = 0 \)

\( u \to 0, T' \to T_x' \) as \( y \to \infty \) (4)

Here \( u \) is the velocity along \( x \)-axis; \( \tau' \) is the time; \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity; \( T' \) is the temperature of the fluid; \( T_x' \) is the temperature of the fluid far away from the plate; \( T_w \) is the temperature of the plate, \( \rho_{nf} \) is the effective density of the nanofluid, \( \mu_{nf} \) is the effective dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid, \( \beta_{nf} \) is the thermal expansion of the nanofluid, \( \kappa_{nf} \) is the thermal conductivity of the nanofluid.

For nanofluids the expressions of density \( \rho_{nf} \), thermal expansion coefficient \( (\rho\beta)_{nf} \) and heat capacitance \( (\rho C_p)_{nf} \) are given by

\[
(\rho\beta)_{nf} = (1-\phi)(\rho\beta_f) + \phi(\rho\beta),
\]

\[
(\rho C_p)_{nf} = (1-\phi)(\rho C_p_f) + \phi(\rho C_p_s),
\]

The effective thermal conductivity of the nanofluid according to Hamilton and Crosser (1962) model is given by

\[
\kappa_{nf} = \frac{\kappa_f + (n-1)\kappa_f - (n-1)\phi(\kappa_f - \kappa_s)}{\kappa_f + (n-1)\kappa_f + \phi(\kappa_f - \kappa_s)}
\]

Where “\( n \)” is the empirical shape factor for the nanoparticle. In particular \( n = 3 \) for spherical shaped nanoparticles and \( n = 3/2 \) for cylindrical ones. \( \phi \) is the solid volume fraction of nanoparticles, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( \beta \) is the thermal expansion coefficient, \( \rho \) is the density and \( \kappa \) is the thermal conductivity. Here the subscripts \( nf \), \( f \) and \( s \) represent the thermo physical properties of the nanofluids, base fluid and the solid nanoparticles, respectively.
The following dimensionless parameters are defined:
\[ X = \frac{x u_0}{v_f}, \quad Y = \frac{y u_0}{v_f}, \quad t = \frac{t u_0^2}{v_f}, \]
\[ U = \frac{u}{u_0}, \quad V = \frac{v}{v_0}, \quad G = \frac{g_B v_f (T'_w - T'_x)}{u_0}, \]
\[ P_r = \frac{v_f}{a_f}, \quad T = \frac{T'_w - T'_x}{T'_w}, \quad M = \frac{\sigma B_2 v_f}{\rho_f u_0}, \quad \phi \]

With the non-dimensional variables (7), Equations (1)-(3) become
\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = 0 \quad (8) \]
\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{U}{c} \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} = \frac{1}{1 - \phi} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} \left( 1 + \phi + \phi \left( \frac{\rho C_p}{\rho_f} \right)_f \right) \frac{GrT}{M} \]
\[ \left( 1 + \phi \left( \frac{\rho C_p}{\rho_f} \right)_f \right) \frac{GrT}{M} \]

The initial and boundary conditions in non-dimensional quantities are given by
\[ t \leq 0 : U = 0, \quad V = 0, \quad T = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad X \quad \text{and} \quad Y \]
\[ t > 0 : U = 1, \quad V = 0, \quad T = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad Y = 0 \]
\[ U = 0, \quad T = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad X = 0 \]
\[ U \to 0, \quad T \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad Y \to \infty \quad (11) \]

Let
\[ E_1 = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}} \left( 1 - \phi \left( \frac{\rho C_p}{\rho_f} \right)_f \right) \]
\[ E_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \phi \left( \frac{\rho C_p}{\rho_f} \right)_f}, \quad E_3 = \frac{1}{1 - \phi \left( \frac{\rho C_p}{\rho_f} \right)_f} \]
\[ E_4 = \frac{\kappa_{nf}}{\kappa_f} \frac{1}{1 - \phi \left( \frac{\rho C_p}{\rho_f} \right)_f} \]

The analytical solution of Eq. (14) in the absence of inertial terms, subject to the boundary conditions (11) by using Laplace transform method is given by
\[ T = erfc \left( \frac{y \sqrt{Pr_f}}{2 E_4 t} \right) \quad (15) \]

3. NUMERICAL TECHNIQUE

An implicit finite difference scheme of Crank–Nicolson type has been used to solve the governing non-dimensional equations (8), (13) and (14) under the initial and boundary conditions (11). The finite difference equations corresponding to Equations (8), (13) and (14) are as follows:
\[ U_{i,j}^{n+1} - U_{i,j}^n + U_{i-1,j}^n - U_{i,j-1}^n - U_{i,j+1}^n = 0 \]
\[ \frac{V_{i,j}^{n+1} - V_{i,j}^n + V_{i,j-1}^n - V_{i,j+1}^n}{2} = 0 \]
\[ \frac{U_{i,j}^{n+1} - U_{i,j-1}^n}{2\Delta X} + \frac{U_{i,j}^n - U_{i,j+1}^n}{2\Delta X} = 0 \]
\[ \frac{V_{i,j}^{n+1} - V_{i,j-1}^n + V_{i,j+1}^n - V_{i,j}^n}{2} = 0 \]
\[ \frac{U_{i,j}^{n+1} - U_{i,j+1}^n}{2\Delta Y} + \frac{U_{i,j}^n - U_{i,j}^n}{2\Delta Y} = 0 \]
The method of solving the above finite difference equations using Crank–Nicholson type has been discussed by Ramachandra Prasad et al. (2007). The region of integration is considered as a rectangle with sides \( X = 0 \) to \( X_{\text{max}} = 1 \) and \( Y = 0 \) to \( Y_{\text{max}} = 14 \), where \( Y_{\text{max}} \) corresponds to \( Y = \infty \) which lies very well outside the momentum and energy boundary layers. After experimenting with a few sets of mesh sizes to access grid independence, the time and spatial step sizes \( \Delta t = 0.01 \), \( \Delta X = 0.05 \) and \( \Delta Y = 0.25 \) were found to give accurate results. The Crank–Nicholson implicit finite difference scheme is always stable and convergent. To check the accuracy of the numerical results, the temperature profiles of the present study are compared with the analytical solution given by equation (15) in Figure 2 and found to be in very good agreement. Confidence in the implicit finite-difference numerical solutions is therefore high.

The transient velocity and temperature profiles of Cu-water nanofluid and pure water (\( \phi = 0 \)) with coordinate \( Y \) for different values of magnetic parameter \( M \) and nanoparticle volume fraction \( \phi \) are shown in Figures 3 and 6 respectively. It is observed in figure 3 that, the flow rate of Cu-water nanofluid retards and thereby giving rise to a decrease in the velocity profiles with the increase in magnetic parameter \( M \). The reason behind this phenomenon is that application of magnetic field to
an electrically conducting nanofluid gives rise to a resistive type force called the Lorentz force. This force has the tendency to slow down the motion of the nanofluid in the boundary layer. But in Figure 6, the temperature of the Cu-water nanofluid is found to increase with the increase in M. This implies that the thermal boundary layer thickness increases with the increase in M. Also, it is found in Figure 3 that, in the absence of the magnetic field (when M=0) or in the presence of small magnetic parameter (when M=1), the velocity of the Cu-water nanofluid decreases with the increase in nanoparticle volume fraction $\phi$. But, in the presence of large magnetic parameters (when M=5, 10), the reverse effect of the nanoparticle volume fraction $\phi$ is noticed on the velocity of Cu-water nanofluid. Furthermore, it is found in Figure 6 that, in the presence or in the absence of magnetic field, the temperature of the Cu-water nanofluid increases with the increase in nanoparticle volume fraction $\phi$. Thus, the thermal boundary layer thickness increases with a rise in the values of $\phi$ in the presence or absence of the magnetic field.

The effects of thermal Grashof number Gr and nanoparticle volume fraction $\phi$ on the transient velocity and temperature profiles of Cu-water nanofluid and pure water ($\phi = 0$) with coordinate $Y$ are shown in Figures 4 and 7 respectively. The parameter $G_r = \frac{g\beta_f \nu_f (T_w - T_s)}{\nu_0}$ denotes the relative influence of thermal buoyancy force and viscous force in the boundary layer regime. With large values of this parameter, buoyancy dominates and for small values viscosity dominates. In Figure 4, the velocity of Cu-water nanofluid is found to increase with the increase in thermal Grashof number Gr. This means that the buoyancy force accelerates velocity field. An increase in the value of thermal Grashof number has the tendency to induce much flow in the boundary layer due to the effect of thermal buoyancy. But it is found in Figure 7 that, the temperature of Cu-water nanofluid decreases with the increase in thermal Grashof number Gr. This means that buoyancy force reduces temperature field. Furthermore, it is observed in the Figures that, the velocity and temperature of the Cu-Water nanofluid is more than that for pure water.
water (Newtonian viscous fluid) for all values of thermal Grashof number $Gr$.

The development of transient velocity and temperature profiles of Cu-water nanofluid and pure water ($\phi = 0$) for different values of time $t$ with coordinate $Y$ is shown in Figures 5 and 8 respectively. In Figures the velocity and temperature profiles of Cu-water nanofluid are found to increase with a rise in time. It is also found in the Figures that, the velocity and temperature of the Cu-water nanofluid increases with the increase in nanoparticles volume fraction $\phi$ for all values of $t$ (time). Furthermore the velocity and temperature profiles of Cu-water nanofluid are found to decrease monotonically from the value unity at the surface of the plate to zero along the coordinate $Y$ with the increase in nanoparticles volume fraction $\phi$ for different types of nanofluids on skin friction coefficient when $Pr=6.2, M=5, Gr=2, X=1, t=0.5$.

In materials processing problems, characteristics at the wall are important, for example the skin friction coefficient $C_f$ and the Nusselt number $Nu$, which are defined, respectively, as follows:

$$C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho_f u_0^2}, \quad Nu = \frac{\dot{q}_w L_{nf}}{\kappa_f (T_w - T_x)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Where $\tau_w$ is the skin-friction or shear stress and $\dot{q}_w$ is the heat flux or the rate of heat transfer from the surface of the plate, and they are given by

$$\tau_w = \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \bigg|_{Y=0}, \quad \dot{q}_w = -\kappa_{nf} \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} \bigg|_{Y=0}$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

Using non-dimensional variables (7), we get

The skin friction coefficient

$$C_f = \frac{1}{(1-\phi)^{2.5}} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \right)_{Y=0}$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

and The Nusselt number $Nu = \frac{\kappa_{nf}}{\kappa_f} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} \right)_{Y=0}$

(22)

The derivatives involved in Equations (21) and (22) are evaluated using five-point approximation formula.

The effects of different values of nanoparticle volume fraction $\phi$ for different types of nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver on the skin friction coefficient and the Nusselt number are shown in Tables 3 and 8 respectively. It is seen in Tables 3 and 8 that, the skin-friction coefficient decreases and the Nusselt number increases at the surface with the increase in nanoparticle volume fraction for all nanoparticles namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver. It is also seen in table 3 that, $Al_2O_3$ - Water nanofluid attains the maximum value of the skin friction coefficient relative to the other nanofluids for all values of $\phi$. But it is found in table 8 that, the values of the Nusselt number decrease from Cu-Water nanofluid to $TiO_2$-water nanofluid through $Al_2O_3$ and Ag-water nanofluids. This confirms that Cu-Water nanofluid attains an enhanced heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for all values of $\phi$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>Nanoparticles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ag$</td>
<td>$Cu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-1.8784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-1.9083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-1.9395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.9721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Effects of the nanoparticle volume fraction for different types of nanofluids on skin friction coefficient when $Pr=6.2, M=5, Gr=2, X=1, t=0.5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>Nanoparticles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ag$</td>
<td>$Cu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.5464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.8976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1.9721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-2.8816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Effects of the magnetic parameter for different types of nanofluids on skin friction coefficient when $Pr=6.2, Gr=2, \phi=0.04, X=1, t=0.5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Gr$</th>
<th>Nanoparticles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ag$</td>
<td>$Cu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.9721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1.4217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.5045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Effects of the thermal Grashof number for different types of nanofluids on skin friction coefficient when $Pr=6.2, M=5, \phi=0.04, X=1, t=0.5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Nanoparticles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ag$</td>
<td>$Cu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-2.3320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.9721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-1.8757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-1.8393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Effects of the time for different types of nanofluids on skin friction coefficient when $Pr=6.2, M=5, \phi=0.04, X=1, Gr=2$. 

The effects of different values of magnetic parameter on the skin friction coefficient and the Nusselt number for various types of nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver are presented in Tables 4 and 9. The skin-friction coefficient and the Nusselt number at the surface are found to decrease for all nanofluids namely aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver with increase in magnetic parameter. It is seen in table 4 that, in the absence or presence of magnetic field (when M=0, 1, 5) skin friction coefficient magnitudes decrease from the Cu - Water nanofluid to TiO$_2$-water through Cu and TiO$_2$-water nanofluids. This proves that Ag - Water nanofluid achieves maximum skin friction coefficient at surface in magnitude comparative to the other nanofluids. But, when M=10, TiO$_2$-Water nanofluid attains the maximum skin friction coefficient in magnitude relative to the other nanofluids. However it is seen in table 9 that, in the presence of magnetic field (when M=1, 5, 10), the values of the Nusselt number decreases from Cu - Water nanofluid to TiO$_2$-water nanofluid through Al$_2$O$_3$ - Water, TiO$_2$ - Water, Cu - Water, Al$_2$O$_3$ - Water and Ag - Water nanofluids. This shows that Cu - Water nanofluid attains an improved heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids in the presence of magnetic field for all values of M. But in the absence of magnetic field (when M=0), the values of the Nusselt number decreases from Al$_2$O$_3$ - Water nanofluid to TiO$_2$ - water nanofluid through Cu , and Ag - water nanofluids. This confirms that Al$_2$O$_3$-Water nanofluid gets a better heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids in the absence magnetic field.

Tables 5 and 10 show the effects of different values of thermal Grashof number on the skin friction coefficient and the Nusselt number for different types of nanofluids namely aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver. It is also seen in table 5 that, in the presence of thermal Grashof number (when Gr=5, 10, 15) Skin friction coefficient at surface for Ag - Water achieves the
maximum relative to the other nanofluids. But when Gr=2, Ag-Water nanofluid achieves the minimum skin friction coefficient at surface relative to the other nanofluids. But it is found in Table 10 that, the values of Nusselt number decrease from Cu-Water nanofluid to TiO$_2$-water nanofluid through $Al_2O_3$, and Ag-water nanofluids. This shows that Cu-Water nanofluid attains an enhanced heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for all values of Gr.

Tables 6 and 11 show the effects of different values of time t on the skin friction coefficient and the Nusselt number for different types of nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver. It is seen in tables 6 and 11 that, as time progress, the skin-friction coefficient increase and the Nusselt number decrease at the surface for all nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver. It is also seen in table 6 that, when time t=0.2, 0.5, Skin friction coefficient at surface for Ag-Water achieves the minimum, but when time t=0.8, 1.0, it attains the maximum at surface relative to the other nanofluids. However the values of Nusselt number are found to decrease from Cu-Water nanofluid to TiO$_2$-water nanofluid through $Al_2O_3$ and Ag-water nanofluids. This shows that Cu-Water nanofluid attains an improved heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for all values of t, which is clear in Table 11.

The effects of different values of coordinate X, for different types of nanofluids namely aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver, on the skin friction coefficient and the Nusselt number are presented in Tables 7 and 12. It is observed in tables 7 and 12 that, the skin-friction coefficient at the surface increases and the Nusselt number decreases for all nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver with the increase in X coordinate. It is also observed in table 7 that, Ag-Water nanofluid achieves the maximum Skin friction coefficient in magnitude, while $Al_2O_3$-Water nanofluid attains the minimum magnitude relative to the other nanofluids for all values of X coordinate. Furthermore it is noticed in table 12 that, when X=0.5, 0.8, 1, the values of Nusselt number decreases from Cu-Water nanofluid to TiO$_2$-water nanofluid through $Al_2O_3$, and Ag-water nanofluids. This shows that Cu-Water nanofluid attains an improved heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for all X=0.5, 0.8, 1. But when X=0.2, the values of Nusselt number decreases from $Al_2O_3$-Water nanofluid to Ag-water nanofluid through TiO$_2$, and Cu-water nanofluids. This proves that $Al_2O_3$-Water nanofluid achieves an enhanced heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for X=0.2.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the problem of transient free convection flow and heat transfer of nanofluid past an impulsively started semi-infinite vertical plate in the presence of magnetic field has been investigated. An implicit finite difference scheme of Crank–Nicolson type has been used to solve the governing non-dimensional equations with the corresponding initial and boundary conditions. The effects of significant parameters such as nanoparticle volume fraction, magnetic parameter, thermal Grashof number, time and nanoparticles type on the flow and heat transfer characteristics have been discussed.

The conclusions of the study are as follows:

1) As the nanoparticle volume fraction increased, the skin-friction coefficient decreased and the Nusselt number increased at the surface for all nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver.

2) As the magnetic parameter increased, the skin-friction coefficient and the Nusselt number at the surface decreased for all nanofluids aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver.

3) As the thermal Grashof number increased, the skin-friction coefficient and the Nusselt number at the surface increased for all nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver.

4) As time progressed, the skin-friction coefficient at the surface increased and the Nusselt number decreased for all nanofluids namely, aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver.

5) The skin-friction coefficient at the surface increased and The Nusselt number decreased for all nanofluids aluminium oxide, copper, titanium oxide and silver with the increase in X coordinate.

6) Selecting $Al_2O_3$ as the nanoparticle leads to the maximum value of skin friction coefficient for all values of $\phi$.

7) Choosing copper as the nanoparticle leads to the maximum amount of Nusselt number for all values of $\phi$.

8) In the presence of magnetic field, Cu-Water nanofluid attained an improved heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for all values of M.

9) In the absence magnetic field $Al_2O_3$-Water nanofluid achieved an enhanced heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids.

10) Cu-Water nanofluid attained an improved heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for all values of Gr.
11) Cu-Water nanofluid achieved an improved heat transfer rate when compared with the other nanofluids for all values of t.
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