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ABSTRACT

An investigation of unsteady MHD double diffusive natural convection flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting, heat absorbing, radiating and chemically-reactive fluid past an exponentially accelerated moving inclined plate in a fluid-saturated porous medium, when the temperature of the plate and the concentration at the surface of the plate have ramped profiles, is carried out. Exact solutions for the fluid velocity, fluid temperature and the species concentration, under Boussinesq approximation, are obtained in closed form by the Laplace transform technique. The expressions for the shear stress, rate of heat transfer and the rate of mass transfer at the plate are also derived. Numerical evaluations of the fluid velocity, fluid temperature and the species concentration are performed and displayed graphically whereas those of the shear stress, rate of heat transfer and the rate of mass transfer at the plate are presented in tabular form for various values of the pertinent flow parameters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Study of magnetohydrodynamic natural convection flow with heat and mass transfer in porous and non-porous media is of considerable importance due to its varied and wide applications in many engineering and industrial processes viz. geothermal energy exploration, building insulation, desert coolers, materials processing etc. Keeping in view the importance of such study, several researchers investigated magnetohydrodynamic natural convection flow in porous and non-porous media with heat and mass transfer near bodies with different geometries. Some notable research studies on the topic are due to Hossain and Mandal [1], Jha [2], Ibrahim et al. [3], Eldabe et al. [4] and Gorla and Chamkha [5, 6].

Hydromagnetic natural convection flows under the influence of thermal radiation have gained considerable attention of researchers due to its frequent occurrence in many physical, scientific and industrial problems. Heat exchanger, internal combustor, glass production, furnace design, cosmical flight aerodynamics, rocket propulsion systems and spacecraft re-entry vehicles are some of the examples where radiation effects are greatly significant. It is interesting to note that the presence of radiation term makes energy equation non-linear. Therefore, to solve the energy equation with radiative heat transfer, some reasonable approximations are proposed to linearize the equation e.g. Cogley approximation [7] and Rosseland approximation [8]. Takhar et al. [9] investigated the effect of thermal radiation on hydromagnetic natural convection flow over a semi-infinite vertical plate under the action of buoyancy force. Azam [10] analyzed the effect of radiation on MHD mixed convection flow past a semi-infinite moving vertical plate when the temperature differences are very high. Mbeledogu and Ogulu [11] discussed the unsteady MHD natural convection heat and mass transfer flow of a rotating fluid past a vertical porous flat plate in the presence of radiative heat transfer. Palani and Abbas [12] made a numerical study to analyze the combined effects of magnetic field and radiation on...

There has been a keen interest of researchers in studying hydromagnetic fluid flow problems in porous and non-porous media taking into consideration the effect of temperature dependent heat source/sink which may have strong influence on heat transfer characteristics of several physical problems of practical interest viz. convection in Earth’s mantle, post-accident heat removal, fire and combustion modeling, fluids undergoing exothermic and/or endothermic chemical reaction, development of metal waste from spent nuclear fuel etc. In light of these applications, several researchers investigated the hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a viscous, incompressible and heat generating/absorbing fluid near bodies with different geometries under different conditions. Mention may be made of the research studies on the topic by Vajravelu and Nayfeh [17], Chamkha and Khaled [18], Alam et al. [19], Ravikumar et al. [20] and Seth et al. [21]. Moreover, the combined effects of heat generation/absorption and thermal radiation on Magnetohydrodynamic natural convection flow play a crucial role in controlling the heat transfer and may have important applications in the industry. Keeping in view the importance of such study, Chamkha [22], Seddeek [23], Saha et al. [24], Reddy et al. [25], Vieru et al. [26] and Uddin et al. [27] considered the effects of radiation and heat generation/absorption on hydromagnetic natural convection flow past a flat plate considering different aspects of the problem.

It has been observed that combined heat and mass transfer flow with chemical reaction is significant in many engineering and industrial processes such as drying, evaporation at the surface of a water body, energy transfer in a wet cooling tower and the flow in a desert cooler. Therefore, it has gained considerable attention of researchers in the past few years. Anjalidevi and Kundasamy [28] investigated the effect of chemical reaction, heat and mass transfer on laminar boundary layer flow along a semi-infinite horizontal plate. Postelnicu [29] studied the influence of chemical reaction on heat and mass transfer by natural convection from vertical surfaces in porous medium considering Soret and Dufour effects. Patil and Kulkarni [30] discussed the effect of chemical reaction on free convection flow of a polar fluid through a porous medium in the presence of internal heat generation. Ibrahim et al. [31] considered the chemical reaction and radiation absorption effects on unsteady hydromagnetic free convection flow past a semi-infinite vertical permeable moving plate with heat source and suction. Pal and Talukdar [32] analyzed the chemical reaction and buoyancy effects on MHD mixed convection flow with heat and mass transfer in a porous medium taking thermal radiation and Ohmic heating into account. Ali et al. [33] investigated the effect of chemical reaction on hydromagnetic free convection heat and mass transfer flow past a vertical plate embedded in a fluid saturated porous medium. Khan et al. [34] made a numerical study of Magnetohydrodynamic laminar boundary layer flow past a wedge under the influence of thermal radiation, heat generation and chemical reaction. Seth et al. [35] studied the effect of chemical reaction on unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a thermally radiating fluid past a vertical plate considering Newtonian heating into account. Tripathy et al. [36] analyzed the heat and mass transfer effect on MHD flow past a moving vertical plate through porous medium in the presence of heat source and chemical reaction. Gorla et al. [37] considered the effect of chemical reaction and heat source on MHD natural convection flow past an infinite vertical plate in a rotating frame.

In all the above investigations, the solution of the problems is obtained under different thermal conditions which are continuous and well defined. However, there are so many practical problems which involve non-uniform or discontinuous thermal conditions. Some of the industry based applications include nuclear heat transfer control, materials processing, turbine blade heat transfer, electronic circuits and sealed gas-filled enclosure heat transfer operations. Taking into consideration this fact several researchers investigated natural convection flow with ramped wall temperature. Some of the relevant research studies are due to Chandran et al. [38], Nandkeolyar and Das [39], Mohamad et al. [40], Samiulhaq et al. [41], Rajesh and Chamkha [42], Kundu et al. [43], Das et al. [44], Khalid et al. [45], Seth and Sarkar [46] and Seth et al. [47].

In the present problem we propose to study an unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting, optically thick radiating, temperature dependent heat absorbing and chemically reactive fluid with combined heat and mass transfer near an exponentially accelerated moving infinite inclined plate embedded in a fluid saturated porous medium when the temperature of the plate and concentration at the surface of the plate have ramped profiles. As per author’s knowledge, this problem has not yet received attention of the researchers. This study may have bearings on the problems of practical interest viz. inclined plate clarifiers, oil-water separator, sedimentation equipment such as inclined plate settlers, in fabrication of thin film, photo voltaic devices, air conditioning system regarding to building heat transfer, nuclear heat transfer, material processing, electronic circuit, sealed gas filled enclosure etc.

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting, temperature dependent heat absorbing, optically
thick radiating and chemically reactive fluid with combined heat and mass transfer near an inclined plate embedded in a fluid saturated porous medium. The Cartesian co-ordinate system is chosen in such a way that $x'$-axis is along the plate which is inclined at angle $\theta$ with the vertical direction and $y'$-axis is normal to the plane of the plate in the fluid. Fluid is permeated by uniform transverse magnetic field $B_0$ which is applied in a direction parallel to $y'$ axis. Initially i.e. at time $t' \leq 0$ both the fluid and plate are at rest and maintained at uniform temperature $T'_w$ and uniform concentration $C'_w$. At time $t' > 0$ plate is exponentially accelerated with velocity $U_0 e^{vt'}$ in the $x'$-direction ($U_0$ and $v'$ being uniform velocity and plate acceleration coefficient respectively). Temperature of the plate is raised or lowered to $T'_w + (T'_w - T'_s) t'/t_0$ and the level of concentration at the surface of the plate is raised or lowered to $C'_w + (C'_w - C'_s) t'/t_0$ when $0 < t' \leq t_0$. Thereafter i.e. at $t' > t_0$ plate is maintained at uniform temperature $T'_w$ and level of concentration at surface of the plate is preserved at uniform concentration $C'_w$. It is assumed that there exists a homogeneous chemical reaction of first order with constant rate $K'_1$ between the diffusing species and the fluid. Geometry of the problem is displayed in Fig. 1.

Since plate is of infinite extent along $x'$ and $z'$ directions and is electrically non-conducting, all physical quantities depend on $y'$ and $t'$ only. Therefore, the equation of continuity $\nabla \cdot \vec{q} = 0$ and solenoidal relation for magnetic field $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$, respectively, reduce to $\frac{\partial \rho '}{\partial y} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial B'_y}{\partial y} = 0$. Thus we obtain, $\rho ' = \text{Constant}$ and $B'_y = \text{Constant}$, where $\vec{q} = (u', v', w')$ and $\vec{B} = (B'_x, B'_y, B'_z)$ are fluid velocity and induced magnetic field respectively.

Since plate is non-porous and a uniform magnetic field of strength $B_0$ is applied in $y'$-direction, fluid velocity $v'$ and magnetic field $B'_y$ may be assumed as $v' = 0$ and $B'_y = B_0$, throughout the region. Also, there is no flow of fluid in $z'$-direction, therefore, $w' = 0$. Thus fluid velocity $\vec{u} = (u', 0, 0)$.

It is assumed that the induced magnetic field generated by fluid motion is negligible in comparison to the applied one. This assumption is valid for metallic liquids and partially ionized fluids which are commonly used in various industrial processes. Thus, $\vec{B} = (0, B_y, 0)$.

Keeping in view the assumptions made above, the governing equations for unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting, temperature dependent heat absorbing, optically thick radiating and chemically reactive fluid through a fluid saturated porous medium with combined heat and mass transfer, under Boussinesq approximation, are given by

\[
\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t'} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{\sigma B_y^2}{\rho} u' - \frac{v}{K_1} u' + g \beta_T (T' - T_s) \cos \theta + g \beta_c (C' - C_s) \cos \theta, \quad (1)
\]

\[
0 = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y'} - g \beta_T (T' - T_s) \sin \theta - g \beta_c (C' - C_s) \sin \theta, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\rho c_p \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} = k \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial y'} - Q_0 (T' - T_0), \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C'}{\partial t'} = D \frac{\partial^2 C'}{\partial y'^2} - K'_1 (C' - C_s), \quad (4)
\]

where $u'$, $T'$, $C$, $K_1$, $K'_1$, $k$, $c_p$, $Q_0$, $D$, $q'$, $\nu$, $\sigma$, $\rho$, $g$, $\beta_T$ and $\beta_c$ are, respectively, fluid velocity, fluid temperature, species concentration, permeability of porous medium, coefficient of chemical reaction, thermal conductivity, specific heat at constant pressure, heat absorption coefficient, molecular diffusivity, radiating flux vector, kinematic co-efficient of viscosity, electrical conductivity, fluid density, acceleration due to gravity, co-efficient of thermal expansion and co-efficient of expansion for species concentration.

The pressure gradient term $-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ is absent in equation (1) because fluid flow is induced due to the movement of plate as well as buoyancy forces acting in $x'$-direction. Equation (2) implies that fluid pressure $p$ varies in $y'$-direction due to presence of buoyancy forces acting in $y'$-direction. This means that change in fluid pressure from plate to the edge of the boundary layer varies due to change in thermal and solutal buoyancy forces.

The initial and boundary conditions for fluid flow are

\[
u' = 0, \quad T' = T'_w, \quad C' = C'_w \quad \text{for} \quad y' \geq 0 \text{ and } t' \leq 0, \quad (5a)
\]

\[
u' = U_0 e^{vt'} \quad \text{at} \quad y' = 0 \text{ and } t' > 0 \quad (5b)
\]

\[
T' = T'_w + (T'_w - T'_s) t'/t_0, \quad C' = C'_w + (C'_w - C'_s) t'/t_0 \quad \text{at} \quad y' = 0 \text{ and } 0 < t' \leq t_0 \quad (5c)
\]
\[ T' = T'_x, \quad C' = C'_x \quad \text{at } y' = 0 \text{ and } t' > t_0 \quad (5d) \]
\[ u' \to 0, \quad T' \to T'_x, \quad C' \to C'_x \quad \text{as } y' \to \infty \text{ and } t' > 0 \quad (5e) \]

For an optically thick radiating fluid, in addition to emission there is also self-absorption and usually the absorption co-efficient is wavelength dependent and large. Therefore, adopting Rosseland approximation, radiating flux vector is expressed as
\[ \dot{q}' = \frac{4\alpha^* \partial T'^4}{3k^*} \quad, \quad (6) \]
where \( k^* \) is mean absorption co-efficient and \( \sigma^* \) is Stefan-Boltzmann constant.

Assuming small temperature difference between fluid temperature within the boundary layer \( T' \) and free stream temperature \( T'_{\infty} \), \( T' \) is expanded in Taylor series about free stream temperature \( T'_{\infty} \) to linearize equation (5). \( T' \) is assumed the form after neglecting second and higher order terms in Taylor series
\[ T'_{ee} \equiv 4T'^4_{\infty} - 3T'^4_{\infty} \quad. \quad (7) \]

Using (6) and (7) in (2), we obtain
\[ \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} + \frac{16\sigma^* T'^3_{\infty}}{3k^*} \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{Q_0}{\rho c_p} (T' - T'_{\infty}) \quad. \quad (8) \]

In order to non-dimensionalize equations (1), (3) and (8), the following non-dimensional variables and parameters are introduced

\[ y = y'/U_{0,0}, u = u'/U_{0,0}, t = t'/t_0, a = a'/U_{0,0}^2, T = (T' - T_{\infty})/(T'_{\infty} - T_{\infty}), C = (C' - C_{\infty})/(C_{\infty} - C_{\infty}), G = g\beta_2 \nu (T_{\infty} - T_{\infty}')(U_{0,0}^2)'/U_{0,0}^2, G_S = g\beta_2 \nu (C_{\infty} - C_{\infty}')(U_{0,0}^2)'/U_{0,0}^2, K = K U_{0,0}^2 / \nu^2, K_2 = \nu K_2'/U_{0,0}^2, M = \sigma B_0^2 \nu / \rho U_{0,0}^2, N = 16\sigma^* T_{\infty}^3 / 3k^* \quad. \quad (9) \]

Equations (1), (3) and (8) with the use of (9), are presented in non-dimensional form as
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \left( M + \frac{1}{K} \right) u + G, T \cos \theta + G_C, C \cos \theta \quad, \quad (10) \]
\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{(N+1) \partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \phi T \quad, \quad (11) \]
\[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{S_c} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} - K C \quad, \quad (12) \]
where \( K, M, N, a, G, G_S, P_r, \phi, K_2 \) and \( S_c \) are, respectively, permeability parameter, magnetic parameter, radiation parameter, plate acceleration parameter, thermal Grashof number, solutal Grashof number, Prandtl number, heat absorption parameter, chemical reaction parameter and Schmidt number.

It may be noted that the characteristic time \( t_0 \) is defined according to the non-dimensional process mentioned above as
\[ t_0 = \nu / U_{0,0}^2 \quad, \quad (13) \]

Making use of (9) initial and boundary conditions (5a) to (5e), in non-dimensional form, are given by

\[ P(y,t) = f_1(y, a, \phi, t), \quad Q(y,t) = f_3(y, \beta, K_2, t), \quad G(y,t) = -\eta_1 \left[ \frac{1}{2\xi_1} \{ f_2(y, \xi_1, p_1, t, \beta) - f_2(y, \xi_1, \phi, t, \alpha) \} + \frac{1}{2\xi_1} \{ f_1(y, -\xi_1, p_1, t, \beta) - f_1(y, -\xi_1, \phi, t, \alpha) \} \right] \]
\[ -\eta_2 \left[ \frac{1}{2\xi_2} \{ f_2(y, \xi_2, p_1, t, \beta) - f_2(y, \xi_2, K_2, t, \beta) \} + \frac{1}{2\xi_2} \{ f_1(y, -\xi_2, p_1, t, \beta) - f_1(y, -\xi_2, K_2, t, \beta) \} \right] \]

Expression for \( f_1, f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) are provided in Appendix-I.
3. SOLUTION IN THE CASE OF UNIT SCHMIDT NUMBER

It is worth mentioning that solutions (16) and (17) for species concentration and fluid velocity respectively, are not valid when Schmidt number $S_c = 1$. Since Schmidt number represents relative potency of momentum diffusivity and chemical molecular diffusivity of fluid, $S_c = 1$ corresponds to the fluids having same order of magnitude of boundary layer thicknesses for both momentum and concentration boundary layers. There are some fluids which belong to this category [48]. Solving equations (10) and (12) with $S_c = 1$ by Laplace transform technique, we obtain the solutions for species concentration $C(y,t)$ and fluid velocity $u(y,t)$ which are expressed as:

$$
C(y,t) = Q_t(y,t) - Q_t(y,t-1)H(t-1),
$$

$$
u(y,t) = f_1(y,a,p_t,t) + G_t(y,t) + G_t(y,t-1)H(t-1),
$$

where

$$
Q_t(y,t) = f_1(y,1,K_t,t),
$$

$H(t-1)$ and $\text{erfc}(x)$ are, respectively, unit step function and complementary error function.

3.1 Solution in the Case of Isothermal Plate with Uniform Surface Concentration

In order to highlight the effects of ramped temperature and ramped surface concentration on fluid flow, it may be worthwhile to compare the fluid flow near an accelerated moving inclined plate with ramped temperature and ramped surface concentration with the one near an accelerated moving vertical plate with uniform temperature and uniform surface concentration. Keeping in view the assumptions made above, the solutions for fluid temperature, species concentration and fluid velocity for natural convection flow past an exponentially accelerated moving inclined isothermal plate with uniform surface concentration are obtained and are expressed in the following form

$$
T(y,t) = f_1(y,0,\phi,t,\alpha),
$$

$$
C(y,t) = f_1(y,0,K_t,t,\alpha),
$$

$$
u(y,t) = f_1(y,a,p_t,t) - \frac{\eta_1}{\xi_1}[\{f_1(y,0,p_t,t) + f_1(y,-\xi_1,\phi,t,\alpha)\} - \{f_1(y,-\xi_1,p_t,t) + f_1(y,0,\phi,t,\alpha)\}],
$$

where

$$
\eta_1 = \frac{\alpha G_c \cos \theta}{\alpha - 1}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{\phi - 1}{1 - \alpha}, \quad \eta_4 = \frac{G_c \cos \theta}{1 - S_c}, \quad \xi_4 = \frac{K_c S_c - p_t}{S_c - 1}.
$$

Expression for $f_4$ is provided in Appendix – I.

3.2 Shear Stress, Rate of Heat Transfer and Rate of Mass Transfer at the Plate

Expressions for the shear stress at the plate $\tau$, rate of heat transfer at the plate $Nu$ and rate of mass transfer at the plate $Sh$, are derived and are presented in the following form after simplification.

3.3 For Plate with Ramp Temperature and Ramp Surface Concentration

$$
\tau = -f_1(p_t,a,1,t) + G_c(y,t) + G_c(y,t-1)H(t-1),
$$
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\[ N_u = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \alpha \phi + t \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\alpha}} \right] \left[ \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{t} \phi \right) - 1 \right] + \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi \alpha}} e^{-2t}, \]  

(24) 

\[ S_h = -\frac{K_s}{t} \left[ \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{K_s t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-K_s t} \right]. \]  

(25) 

### 3.4 For Plate with Uniform Temperature and Uniform Surface Concentration

\[ \tau = \frac{-e^{-\alpha t}}{2} f_s(p_1, a, t, 1) - \frac{\eta t}{\xi_3} \left[ f_s(p_1, 0, t, 1) - f_s(0, 0, t, 1) \right] \]  

\[ + \frac{\eta t}{\xi_3} \left[ f_s(p_1, 0, t, 1) - f_s(p_1, -z_1, 1, t, 1) \right]. \]  

(26) 

\[ N_u = f_s(0, 0, \alpha, t, 1), \]  

(27) 

\[ S_h = \frac{K_s}{t} \left[ 1 - \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{K_s t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-K_s t} \right]. \]  

(28) 

where

\[ G_z(y, t) = \eta \left[ e^{-\xi_2} \left\{ -f_s(\phi, -z_1, \alpha, t, 1) + f_s(p_1, -z_1, 1, t, 1) \right\} + \frac{1}{\xi_2} \left\{ -f_s(\phi, z_1, \alpha, t) + f_s(p_1, z_1, 1, t, 1) \right\} \right] \]  

\[ + \eta t \left[ e^{-\xi_2} \left\{ -f_s(p_1, -z_2, \beta, t, 1) + f_s(p_1, z_2, 1, t, 1) \right\} + \frac{1}{\xi_2} \left\{ -f_s(p_1, z_2, \beta, t) + f_s(p_1, z_2, 1, t, 1) \right\} \right]. \]  

Expressions for \( f_s, f_t \) and \( f_2 \) are presented in Appendix-I.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to gain a perspective of the physics of the flow regime, numerical computations are conducted from analytical solutions (15) to (17) and (20) to (22) for fluid velocity, fluid temperature and species concentration and from the analytical expressions (23) to (28) for shear stress, rate of heat transfer and rate of mass transfer at the plate, for various values of the physical parameters that describe the flow characteristics. The numerical results are illustrated in Figs. 2 to 14 along with the Tables 1 to 3. In the present computation, the values of Prandtl number \( Pr \) and magnetic parameter \( M \) have been fixed at 0.71 (Ionized Air) and 5 respectively.

Figures 2 to 8 depict the graphs of fluid velocity \( u \) under the influence of time \( t \), plate acceleration parameter \( a \), angle of inclination \( \theta \), thermal Grashof number \( Gr \), solutal Grashof number \( G_c \), radiation parameter \( N \) and heat absorption parameter \( \phi \), within the momentum boundary layer for both ramped temperature plate with ramped surface concentration and isothermal plate with uniform surface concentration. It is observed from these figures that fluid velocity corresponding to isothermal plate with uniform surface concentration is higher than that of ramped temperature plate with ramped surface concentration. It is evident from Fig. 2 that there is an increase in \( u \) on increasing time \( t \). This observation suggests that fluid velocity is getting accelerated with the progress of time. It is revealed from Fig. 3
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### Fig. 2

Velocity profiles for \( a = 0.2, \theta = 45^\circ, N = 3, \phi = 3, Gr = 10, G_c = 3 \) and \( S_c = 0.6 \).

### Fig. 3

Velocity profiles for \( \theta = 45^\circ, N = 3, \phi = 3, Gr = 10, G_c = 3, S_c = 0.6 \) and \( t = 0.5 \).
that \( u \) increases on increasing plate acceleration parameter \( a \) in the region near the plate and the effect of \( a \) is almost negligible in the region away from the plate. This observation suggests that higher plate velocity results in accelerated fluid motion in the region near the moving plate which is exponentially accelerated. It is inferred from Fig. 4 that \( u \) is getting decelerated on increasing the angle of inclination \( \theta \). This is because the effect of buoyancy force is getting reduced due to multiplication of the term \( \cos \theta \) in the buoyancy force term. Since \( \cos \theta \) assumes maximum value when \( \theta = 0 \) and it is getting reduced as \( \theta \) increases. Due to this reason strength of buoyancy forces is getting reduced on increasing angle of inclination of the plate. It is evident from the analysis of Figs. 5 and 6 that the effects of thermal Grashof number \( G_r \) and solutal Grashof number \( G_c \), are to increase fluid velocity \( u \). Since \( G_r \) presents the relative strength of thermal buoyancy force to viscous force and \( G_c \) is the measure of solutal buoyancy force to viscous force, as \( G_r \) and \( G_c \) increase, thermal and solutal buoyancy forces become stronger. This implies that thermal as well as solutal buoyancy forces tend to accelerate fluid velocity within boundary layer region. Figs. 7 and 8 uniquely establish that \( u \) increases on increasing radiation parameter \( N \) whereas it decreases on increasing heat absorption parameter \( \phi \). In other words, fluid velocity of a highly radiating and lesser heat absorbing fluid is greater than that of lesser radiating and highly heat absorbing fluid. This fact is justified because an increase in Radiation parameter \( N \) results in the rise of fluid temperature as observed from Fig. 10, by virtue of which the thermal buoyancy force gets stronger. This increased thermal buoyancy force results in accelerated fluid motion. Likewise, from Fig. 11, we observe a fall in the fluid temperature upon increasing heat absorption parameter \( \phi \) and this fall in the fluid temperature weakens the thermal buoyancy force and, therefore, the fluid velocity is getting decelerated.
Figures 9 to 11 have been plotted to analyze the behavior of fluid temperature $T$ with respect to heat absorption parameter $\phi$, radiation parameter $N$ and time $t$ within the thermal boundary layer. We see that, an increase in $N$ or $t$ results in a significant rise in fluid temperature $T$ whereas the effect of $\phi$ on $T$, is opposite to that of $N$ or $t$. Physically speaking, thermal radiation has a tendency to enhance the fluid temperature whilst heat absorption affects the fluid temperature adversely. As time progresses, the temperature of the fluid is getting enhanced.

To analyze the characteristics of species concentration $C$ within the concentration boundary layer region, the numerical results are presented in Figs. 12 to 14 for various values of chemical reaction parameter $K_2$, Schmidt number $S_c$ and time $t$. Fig. 12 is plotted for positive as well as negative values of $K_2$. We observe from Fig. 12 that, an increase in the positive values of $K_2$ causes $C$ to decrease comprehensively. On the other hand, an increase in the negative value of $K_2$ results in the enhancement of $C$. Since positive value of $K_2$ corresponds to a chemical reaction of destructive kind whereas negative value of $K_2$ implies a generative chemical reaction. Therefore an increase in the positive value of $K_2$ results in the consumption of species with a better rate and consequently the thickness of concentra-
tion boundary layer decreases whereas an increase in the negative value of $K_2$ catalyzes the generative chemical reaction which is why the thickness of concentration boundary layer increases. As per Figs. 13 and 14, we see that $C$ decreases on increasing $S_c$ whereas it increases on increasing $t$. Physically speaking, an increase in $S_c$ means a decrease in the molecular diffusion. Hence the imposition of high chemical molecular diffusion causes the species concentration to rise comprehensively. The concentration of species is getting enhanced with the progress of time.

The behavior of the shear stress at the plate $\tau$ under the influence of $G_r$, $G_c$, $\phi$, $a$ and $t$ are presented in Table 1. It is evident from Table 1 that, for both ramped temperature plate with ramped concentration and isothermal plate with uniform surface concentration, shear stress at the plate $\tau$ decreases on increasing $G_r$, $G_c$ and $N$ whereas it increases upon increasing $\phi$, $a$ and $t$. This implies that thermal buoyancy force, solutal buoyancy force and thermal radiation tend to reduce shear stress at the plate whereas heat absorption and plate acceleration tend to enhance shear stress at the plate for both ramped temperature plate with ramped concentration and isothermal plate with uniform surface concentration. Shear stress at the plate is getting enhanced with the progress of time.

The numerical values of rate of heat transfer at the plate $-N_u$ are provided in Table 2 for various values of $\phi$, $N$ and $t$. It is clear from Table 2 that $N_u$ decreases on increasing $N$ whereas it increases on increasing $\phi$ for both ramped temperature and isothermal plate. Also the rate of heat transfer at the plate $N_u$ increases for ramped temperature whereas it decreases for isothermal plate, with the progress of time. This implies that, for both ramped temperature and isothermal plates, rate of heat transfer at the plate is getting enhanced due to heat absorption whereas it is adversely affected by thermal radiation. Rate of heat transfer at the plate is getting enhanced for ramped temperature plate whereas it is getting reduced for isothermal plate, with the progress of time.

Numerical values of rate of mass transfer at the plate $-S_h$ are presented in Table 3 for different values of $K_2$, $t$.

### Table 1 Shear Stress at the Plate $\tau$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_r$</th>
<th>$G_c$</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Ramped temperature plate with ramped surface concentration</th>
<th>Isothermal plate with uniform surface concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$2.4246$</td>
<td>$1.6457$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$2.1115$</td>
<td>$0.5175$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.9531$</td>
<td>$0.2315$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.7983$</td>
<td>$0.4175$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$7$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.6436$</td>
<td>$0.2315$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.8725$</td>
<td>$0.3496$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.9025$</td>
<td>$0.4524$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$7$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.9280$</td>
<td>$0.5342$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.9531$</td>
<td>$0.5266$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.8725$</td>
<td>$0.3496$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1.8312$</td>
<td>$0.2603$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$2.3315$</td>
<td>$0.5801$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.8$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$3.0317$</td>
<td>$1.2802$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$1.4$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$3.8915$</td>
<td>$2.1400$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.3$</td>
<td>$2.4531$</td>
<td>$0.5866$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.7$</td>
<td>$2.5737$</td>
<td>$0.5918$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Rate of Heat Transfer at the Plate $-N_u$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>Ramped Temperature</th>
<th>Isothermal Plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>0.6837</td>
<td>1.0521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>0.4834</td>
<td>0.7439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>0.3947</td>
<td>0.6074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.3$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>0.4699</td>
<td>1.0961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>0.6837</td>
<td>1.0521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.7$</td>
<td>0.8926</td>
<td>1.0394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>0.6837</td>
<td>1.0521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>0.7986</td>
<td>1.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$0.7$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>0.9006</td>
<td>1.5778</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\frac{\text{https://doi.org/10.1017/4.2016.56}}{	ext{https://www.cambridge.org/core}}$, Zhejiang University, on 21 Jun 2017 at 08:28:11, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at 
https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms.
Table 3  Rate of Mass Transfer at the Plate - \( S_h \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( K_2 )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( S_h )</th>
<th>Ramped Surface Concentration</th>
<th>Uniform Surface Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.5800</td>
<td>0.8282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.6995</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.9578</td>
<td>1.3678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.5074</td>
<td>0.8628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5800</td>
<td>0.8283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7143</td>
<td>0.8183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.5472</td>
<td>0.5472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.5800</td>
<td>0.8283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6440</td>
<td>1.0531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and \( S_r \). It is revealed from Table 3 that \( S_h \) increases on increasing either \( K_2 \) or \( S_r \) in both the cases i.e when concentration of species at surface of the plate has a ramped profile and when it has a uniform concentration at surface of the plate. On increasing time \( t \), the value of \( S_h \) increases when concentration of species at surface of the plate has a ramped profile and it decreases when species concentration at surface of the plate is uniform. Since \( S_h \) is ratio of momentum diffusivity to chemical molecular diffusivity, \( S_r \) decreases when chemical molecular diffusion increases. This implies that, in both the cases i.e. when concentration of species at surface of the plate has a ramped profile and when it has a uniform concentration at surface of the plate, rate with which the mass is being transferred from surface of the plate to the fluid, is getting enhanced on increasing the rate of chemical reaction and momentum diffusion whereas it is getting reduced on imposition of high chemical molecular diffusivity. As time progresses, when the concentration of species at the plate has a ramped profile, the rate of mass transfer at the plate is getting enhanced whereas it is getting reduced when the concentration of species on the plate is uniform.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The noteworthy results for the problem under consideration are summarized below.

- For both ramped temperature plate with ramped surface concentration and isothermal plate with uniform surface concentration:
  - Plate acceleration parameter, thermal buoyancy force, solutal buoyancy force and thermal radiation tend to accelerate the fluid velocity whereas angle of inclination and heat absorption have reverse effect on it. Fluid velocity is getting accelerated with the progress of time.
  - Thermal radiation tends to enhance the fluid temperature whereas heat absorption has reverse effect on it. Fluid temperature is getting enhanced with the progress of time.
  - Thermal buoyancy force, solutal buoyancy force and thermal radiation have tendency to reduce shear stress at the plate whereas heat absorption and plate acceleration parameter have reverse effect on it. Shear stress at the plate is getting reduced with the progress of time.

- Rate of heat transfer at the plate is getting enhanced on increasing heat absorption whereas its tendency is reversed on increasing thermal radiation for both ramped temperature and isothermal plate. For ramped temperature plate, rate of heat transfer at the plate is getting enhanced whereas it is getting reduced for isothermal plate with the progress of time.

- Rate of mass transfer at the plate is getting enhanced on increasing chemical molecular diffusivity and rate of chemical reaction in both the cases i.e. when concentration of species at the surface of the plate has a ramped profile and when it is uniform. As time progresses, when concentration of species on the plate has a ramped profile, rate of mass transfer at the plate is getting enhanced whereas it is getting reduced when concentration of species at the surface of the plate is uniform.
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APPENDIX-I:

\[
f_i(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5) = \frac{e^{c_1 c_5}}{2} \left[ e^{-\sqrt{c_1 c_5}} \text{Erfc} \left( \frac{c_1}{2 \sqrt{c_2 c_5}} + \sqrt{(c_1 + c_2)c_5} \right) + e^{\sqrt{c_1 c_5}} \text{Erfc} \left( -\frac{c_1}{2 \sqrt{c_2 c_5}} - \sqrt{(c_1 + c_2)c_5} \right) \right].
\]
\[ f_2(c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5) = \left[ \left( c_4 - \frac{1}{c_2} + \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} \right) e^{\frac{c_3}{c_4}} \text{Erfc} \left( \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} + \sqrt{c_5c_4} \right) + \left( c_4 - \frac{1}{c_2} - \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} \right) e^{-\frac{c_3}{c_4}} \text{Erfc} \left( \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} - \sqrt{c_5c_4} \right) \right], \]

\[ f_3(c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( c_4 + \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} \right) e^{\frac{c_3}{c_4}} \text{Erfc} \left( \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} + \sqrt{c_5c_4} \right) + \left( c_4 - \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} \right) e^{-\frac{c_3}{c_4}} \text{Erfc} \left( \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} - \sqrt{c_5c_4} \right) \right], \]

\[ f_4(c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5) = \frac{e^{c_{24}}}{2} \left[ e^{\frac{c_3}{c_4}} \text{Erfc} \left( \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} + \sqrt{c_5c_4} \right) + e^{-\frac{c_3}{c_4}} \text{Erfc} \left( \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{c_4c_5}} - \sqrt{c_5c_4} \right) \right], \]

\[ f_5(c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c_1c_5}} \left[ 1 - \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{c_5} \right) \right] + \left( c_4 - \frac{1}{c_2} \right) \frac{e^{-c_{14}}}{\sqrt{c_5c_4}} + \frac{c_1}{c_5} \left( c_4 - \frac{1}{c_2} \right) \left[ 1 - \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{c_5} \right) \right], \]

\[ f_6(c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5) = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{c_5} \sqrt{1 - \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{c_5} \right)} + c_1 \left( c_4 - \frac{1}{c_2} \right) \frac{e^{-c_{14}}}{\sqrt{c_5c_4}}, \]

\[ f_7(c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5) = \frac{c_1}{c_5} \left( c_4 - \frac{1}{c_2} + \frac{1}{2c_1} \right) \left[ \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{c_5c_4} \right) - 1 \right] + \left( c_4 - \frac{1}{c_2} \right) \frac{e^{-c_{14}}}{\sqrt{c_5c_4}}. \]

**NOMENCLATURE**

- \( a \): Plate acceleration parameter
- \( B_0 \): uniform magnetic field
- \( C^* \): species concentration
- \( c_p \): specific heat at constant pressure
- \( D \): molecular diffusivity
- \( g \): acceleration due to gravity
- \( G_r \): thermal Grashof number
- \( G_c \): solutal Grashof number
- \( k \): thermal conductivity of the fluid
- \( K_1 \): permeability of porous medium
- \( K \): permeability parameter
- \( K_2 \): coefficient of chemical reaction
- \( K_2 \): chemical reaction parameter
- \( M \): magnetic parameter
- \( N \): radiation parameter
- \( Pr \): Prandtl number
- \( Q_0 \): heat absorption coefficient
- \( q' \): radiating flux vector
- \( S \): Schmidt number
- \( T \): fluid temperature
- \( t_0 \): characteristic time
- \( U_0 \): uniform velocity
- \( u' \): fluid velocity

**Greek Symbols**

- \( \beta_T \): coefficient of thermal expansion
- \( \beta_c \): coefficient of expansion for species concentration
- \( \sigma \): electrical conductivity
- \( \rho \): fluid density
- \( \sigma^* \): Stefan-Boltzmann constant
- \( \nu \): kinematic coefficient of viscosity
- \( \phi \): heat absorption parameter
- \( \theta \): angle of inclination
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