Effect of dispersing nanoparticles on solidification process in existence of Lorenz forces in a permeable media
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Abstract

In current article, Lorentz forces influence on NEPCM solidification phenomena in a storage porous unit is reported with numerical method via FEM. Nanotechnology and magnetic field are employed to expedite this unsteady process. Roles of Hartmann number, Rayleigh number and volume fraction of NEPCM have been reported. Outputs reveal that solid fraction rises in presence of Lorentz forces. Full discharging time reduces with augment of volume fraction of CuO-water and Hartmann number.
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1. Introduction

To supply needing of storing heat transfer, thermal energy storage becomes more popular in recent years. Charging and discharging process of PCM have been investigated. Also, researchers used nanoparticles to overcome the limitation of pure PCM. Shahzad et al. [1] employed Buongiorno model to simulate nanofluid migration in a duct. Sheikholeslami et al. [2] demonstrated the acceleration of discharging phenomenon by means of nanoparticles. They added the impact of thermal radiation in governing equations. Mahajan and Sharma [3] illustrated the migration of nanofluid in a permeable cavity in existence of internal heating. Ullah et al. [4] investigated the impact of Newtonian heating on Casson fluid behavior in presence of MHD over a porous sheet. Haq et al. [5] investigated inclined Lorentz forces on nanoparticles movement on a moving plate.

2. Problem explanation

Fig. 1 presents the porous storage unit. Table 1 shows the nanoparticles and pure PCM properties. Uniform magnetic field was employed to control the solidification rate of NEPCM.

Table 1
The physical properties of water as PCM, CuO as nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>PCM</th>
<th>Nanoparticles</th>
<th>Ice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ρ [kg/m³]</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_p [J/kgK]</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>2040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k [W/mK]</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lf [J/kg]</td>
<td>335000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Problem formulation

Influence of Lorentz forces on NEPCM solidification is taken into account in governing formula:

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{V} = 0 \] (1)

\[ \left( \vec{T} \times \vec{B} - \nabla p + \rho_{nf} \vec{g} \right) = \frac{\mu_{nf}}{K} \nabla \vec{V} \] (2)

\[ \left( \rho C_p \right)_{nf} \frac{dT}{dt} = \nabla \left( k_{nf} \nabla T \right) + L_{nf} \frac{dS}{dt} \] (3)

\[ \begin{cases} 
S = 0 & T > (T_m + T_0) \\
S = 1 & T < (T_0 + T_m) \\
S = (0.5T_0 + T_m - T)/T_0 & T > (T_0 + T_m) \\
S = (T_m - T(T_m - T_0))/T_0 & T < (T_0 + T_m) 
\end{cases} \] (4)

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{T} = 0 \] (5)

According to above equations, final formulas are:

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \] (6)

\[ u + \frac{K}{\mu_{nf}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \sin^2 \gamma - \frac{K \sigma_{nf} B_0^2}{\mu_{nf}} \tan \gamma - u \] (7)

\[ \frac{\sigma_{nf} B_0^2}{\mu_{nf}} \cos^2 \gamma(-v + u \tan \gamma) + g \frac{K}{\mu_{nf}} (\rho \beta)_{nf} (T - T_c) = v + \frac{K}{\mu_{nf}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \] (8)

\[ \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{k_{nf}}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}} \nabla (\nabla T) + \frac{L_{nf}}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}} \frac{dS}{dt} \] (9)

\[ \begin{cases} 
S = 0 & T > (T_m + T_0) \\
S = 1 & T < (T_0 + T_m) \\
S = (0.5T_0 + T_m - T)/T_0 & T > (T_0 + T_m) \\
S = (T_m - T(T_m - T_0))/T_0 & T < (T_0 + T_m) 
\end{cases} \] (10)

Table 2
The coefficient values of CuO — Water nanofluid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient values</th>
<th>CuO — Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a_1</td>
<td>−26.593310846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_2</td>
<td>−0.403818333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_3</td>
<td>−33.3516805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_4</td>
<td>−1.915825591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_5</td>
<td>6.42185846538E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_6</td>
<td>4.804336955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_7</td>
<td>−9.787756683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_8</td>
<td>190.245610009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_9</td>
<td>10.928538565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_10</td>
<td>−0.72009983664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[
\begin{align*}
(pC_p)_{nf} &= (pC_p)_f \phi + (pC_p)_{f'} (1-\phi) \\
\rho_{nf} &= \phi \rho_f + \rho_f (1-\phi) \\
(p\beta)_{nf} &= (p\beta)_f \phi + (p\beta)(1-\phi) \\
\sigma_{nf} &= -3 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_f} \right) \phi + \left( 2 + \frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma_f} \right) \\
\rho_{Lnf} &= (1-\phi)(\rho L)_f \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[k_{nf} = k_{nf}' + \frac{k_{Brownian}}{k_f} \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\mu_f} \]

\[
E_{total} = \int \left( s(pL)_{nf} + (pC_p)_{nf} T \right) dV
\]

\[\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = v, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = u\]
Heat penetration deepness is enhanced with rise of magnetic field. A porous thermal storage unit is illustrated. Using nanoparticles and 5. Results and discussion from Fig. 3 shows that an excellent agreement. Comparing the results with previous study [45]. It can be concluded the adaptive mesh as depicted in Fig. 2. The current code is verified by comparing the results with previous study [45]. It can be concluded from Fig. 3 shows that an excellent agreement.

4. FEM and validation

The Galerkin FEM has been chosen to model the transient heat transfer during discharging phenomenon. Temperature and solid fraction are calculated on the nodes which are located on the corners of cell. The final calculations can be solved by the Newton-Raphson method. This method solves the unsteady terms of equations by an implicit backward difference approach. The solidification process is simulated by utilizing the adaptive mesh as depicted in Fig. 2. The current code is verified by comparing the results with previous study [45]. It can be concluded from Fig. 3 shows that an excellent agreement.

5. Results and discussion

In current article, influence of magnetic forces on solidification in a porous thermal storage unit is illustrated. Using nanoparticles and magnetic field are two ways which are selected to expedite discharging process. Influences of nanofluid volume fraction (\(\phi = 0\text{ to }0.04\)), Hartmann number (\(Ha = 0\text{ to }10\)) and Rayleigh number (\(Ra = 10\text{ to }100\)) on rate of solidification are examined by means of FEM.

Figs. 4 and 5 demonstrate the impact of adding nanoparticles in to pure \(H_2O\) on solidification. As depicted in this figure, adding CuO nanoparticles helps solidification process. Furthermore, as time progresses the influence of \(\phi\) become more effective.

Influences of \(Ra\) and \(Ha\) on NEPCM solidification in various time steps are illustrated in Figs. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11. As Hartmann number augments, solidification process was finished in shorter time. Heat penetration deepness is enhanced with rise of \(Ha\), which can reduce the solidification time. Augmenting buoyancy forces make the rate of solidification to reduce. In absence of magnetic field, there is one rotating eddy in streamline which is shift to upper side as time progresses. This observation is more sensible at greater \(Ra\). The main cell converts to two weaker cells in presence of Lorentz forces.

Figs. 12 and 13 illustrate \(S_{ave}, T_{ave}\) for different \(Ra\) and \(Ha\). Maximum values of \(T_{ave}\) occur at beginning of process. As Hartmann number augments, solid fraction enhances. The most uniform discharging process was observed for highest \(\phi, Ha\). This observation is clear from related diagrams and contour. Full solidification time augments with rise of \(Ra\).

Fig. 14 illustrates the impact of \(Ra\) and \(Ha\) on \(Time\). The below correlation can be derived:

\[
Time = 10.11 + 0.37Ra - 0.3(Ra)(Ha) - 4.6 \times 10^{-4}(Ra^2)
\]

Full discharging time reduces with rise of Hartmann number. As \(Ha\) increases, solidification front moves faster than before. Also Fig. 14 proves that Rayleigh number has direct relationship with solidification time.

6. Conclusion

In this research, NEPCM and magnetic field are employed to improve the efficiency of solidification in a thermal storage unit. Roles Hartmann, Rayleigh numbers and NEPCM volume fraction are examined via FEM. Graphs reveal that adding nanoparticles leads to augment solidification rate. \(Ra\) has inverse relationship with solidification rate. Employing Lorentz forces can help the PCM solidification.
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Fig. 4. Effect of adding nanoparticles in to pure PCM on phase change front (red (\(\phi = 0\)), blue (\(\phi = 0.04\))) at various times (Time = 6 s ( ), Time = 8 s ( ), Time = 10 s ( ) when \(Ra = 100, Ha = 10\).

Fig. 5. Effect of volume fraction of NEMPC on solid fraction when \(Ra = 100, Ha = 10\).
Fig. 6. Solid fraction, temperature and streamline contour at three different time steps when $\phi = 0.04$, $Ra = 10$, $Ha = 0$. 
Fig. 7. Solid fraction, temperature and streamline contour at three different time steps when $\phi = 0.04$, $Ra = 10$, $Ha = 10$. 
Fig. 8. Solid fraction, temperature and streamline contour at three different time steps when $\phi = 0.04$, $Ra = 50$, $Ha = 0$. 
Fig. 9. Solid fraction, temperature and streamline contour at three different time steps when $\phi = 0.04$, $Ra = 50$, $Ha = 10$. 
Fig. 10. Solid fraction, temperature and streamline contour at three different time steps when $\phi = 0.04$, $Ra = 100$, $Ha = 0$. 
Fig. 11. Solid fraction, temperature and streamline contour at three different time steps when $\phi = 0.04$, $Ra = 100$, $Ha = 10$. 
Fig. 12. Average temperature variations over computational domain during solidification process when $\phi = 0.04$.

Fig. 13. Solid fraction variations during solidification process when $\phi = 0.04$. 

Fig. 14. Full solidification time for different values $Ra$, $Ha$. 
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